STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	1	П	Ц		٨	Λ	١п	ГΕ	R	•		E	•
	ľ			п	_	IIV	117	١		п	•	_	•	

fact:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-70336 2021; 3021 September 13, 2012 Wayne (15)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C.	Burke	
HEARING D	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Admini and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requ telephone hearing was held on Septem Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department)	uest for a hearing. ber 13, 2012, from Claiman <u>t. Participa</u> i	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan.
ISSL	<u>JE</u>	
Due to excess assets, did the Department p ☑ close Claimant's case for:	roperly deny the C	laimant's application
☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	Adult Medical Assi	stance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS (OF FACT	

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material

Claimant \square applied for benefits \boxtimes received benefits for FAP and MA.

1. Due to excess assets, on August 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case.

2. On August 13, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Per BEM 400, Countable assets cannot exceed the applicable asset limit. The FAP asset limit is \$5,000.00. (BEM 400, p. 4) The MA asset limit is \$2,000.00 for a group of one and \$3,000.00 for a group of two. (BEM 400, p. 5)
In the present case, Claimant admitted that he received lottery winnings in the amount of \$25,000.00 on or about . Claimant stated that from the lottery winnings he reimbursed a person for bills paid on Claimant's behalf. However, Claimant did not present a receipt from the person reimbursed, nor did he provide testimony from that person. Without such proof, I find that the Department was correct in its decision to close Claimant's MA and FAP cases due to excess assets.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department acted properly.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusion
of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
□ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Susa C. Buche

Date Signed: September 20, 2012

Date Mailed: September 20, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/cl

