STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.:	2012-70127 2010
Case No.: Hearing Date:	November 26, 2012
County:	Wayne (82)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on from Detroit, Michiga n. Participants on behalf of Claimant included

Participants on behalf of the ____ Department of Human Serv__ices (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to exc ess assets, did the Departm ent properly \boxtimes deny the Claimant's app lication \square close Claimant's case for:

	Х	
I		

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, i ncluding the test imony at the hearing, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant \boxtimes applied for benefits \square received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Medical Assistance (MA).

Food Assistance Program (FAP)

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

- 2. Due to excess assets, on May 1, 2012, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application. □ closed Claimant's case.
- On July 11, 2012, the Department sent
 ☐ Claimant
 ☐ Claimant
 ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
 notice of the
 ☐ denial.
 ☐ closure.
- 4. On August 8, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. □ closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The Family Independence Progr am (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

In the instant case the depar tment found that the purchase of an annuity on was a divestment of assets.

Divestment results in a penalty period in MA, **not** ineligibility. Divestment policy does **not** apply to Qualified Working Individuals; see BEM 169.

Divestment is a type of transfer of a re source and not an amount of resources transferred.

Divestment means a transfer of a resource (see RESOURCE DEFINED below and in glossary) by a client or his spouse that are **all** of the following:

Is within a specified time; see LOOK-BACK PERIOD in this item.

Is a transfer for LESS THAN FAIR MARKET VALUE (see definition in glossary).

Is not listed below under TRANSFERS THAT ARE NOT DIVESTMENT

The department's interpretation fails in that the look back period begins with the application on May 1, 2012. The annuity was purchased on February 10, 2006, which is well outside of the "look-back period of 60 months. (PEM 405, p.1; January 1, 2006).

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess assets, the Department

properly denied Claimant's application	improperly denied Claimant's application
properly closed Claimant's case	improperly closed Claimant's case

for:		🗌 FIP	🖂 MA	SDA [
------	--	-------	------	-------	--

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department idid act properly. idid not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP ANA SDA (FAP) decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate re-registration and reprocess the claimant's May 1, 2012 MA application.

Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 3, 2013

Date Mailed: January 3, 2013

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of

the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

