STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201269461

Issue No.: 1003; 2006; 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 3, 2012 County: Wayne DHS (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's reques to for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 3, 2012 from Detroit, Michigan. Participant s included the above named claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS) included Specialist.

<u>ISSUES</u>

The first issue is whether DHS properly te rminated Claimant's elig ibility for Family Independence Program (FIP) benef its and reduced Claimant's eligibilit y for Food Assistance Program (FAP) bene fits due to fa iling to cooperate with establis hing child support.

The second issue is whether DHS establis hed a basis for a termination to Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) benefit eligibility.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP and FIP benefit recipient.
- 2. Claimant is the mother of two children.
- 3. On an unspecified date, the Office of Child Support (OCS) contacted Claimant concerning paternity of her two children.

- 4. Claimant called OCS numerous times including leaving voicemail messages informing OCS of the name of her children's father and his social security number.
- 5. On an unspecified date, Claimant was deemed uncooperative with establishing child support for her children.
- 6. On 7/7/12, DHS initiated termination of Claimant's FIP bene fit eligibility and a reduction in FAP benefit eligibility, both actions to be effective 8/2012.
- 7. On 8/2/12, DHS in itiated termination of Cla imant's ongo ing MA benefit eligib ility effective 9/2012.
- 8. On 8/8/12, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the adverse actions taken to her FIP, FAP and MA benefit eligibility.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistanc e Program (formerly known as the Food Stamp Program) is established by the Food Stam p Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the FAP pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400.10, et seq., and Michigan Administrative Code R 400.3001- 3015. DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. DHS administers the FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq and MAC R 400.3101-3131. DHS polic ies are found in the Bridges Ad ministrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Claimant requested a hearing, in part, bec ause of a FIP benefit termination and FAP benefit reduction. Both actions were estab lished as occurring because of an alleged failure by Claimant to cooperate with OCS to establish child support for her two children. Office of Child Support (OCS) policies are lo cated in the Combined IV-D Policy Manual (4DM) and Child Support Manual (CSM).

Concerning FIP and F AP benefit eligibility, the custodial parent or alternative caretaker of children must comply wit h all requests for action or information needed to establish paternity and/or obtain chil d support on behalf of children for whom they receive assistance, unless a claim of good cause for not cooperating has been granted or is pending. BEM 255 (12/2011), p. 1. Failure to cooperate without good cause results in disqualification. *Id.* Disqualification inc ludes member removal, as well as denial or closure of program benef its, depending on the ty pe of assistance. *Id.* The support specialist (i.e. OCS) determines cooperation for required support actions. *Id.*, p. 8.

It was not disputed that DHS determined Claimant to be uncooperative with establishing child support for her two children. DH S presented no evidence to s upport the determination. Claimant stated that she contacted OCS on several occasions and that she has never successfully talked with her assigned support specialist. Claimant stated that she left several voicemails for her s upport specialist including leaving the name of her children's father and his soc ial security number. Claimant's testimony was credible and unrebutted. Based on the presented evidence, it is found that Claimant was not uncooperative in obtaining child support for her two children. Accordingly, the FIP benefit termination and FAP benefit reduction were improper.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

DHS stated that Claimant's MA benefit eligibi lity terminated due to an alleged failure to verify checking account information. DHS c onceded in their Heari ng Summary that the program "closed in er ror" and was reinstat ed. DHS provided tes timony that Claimant's MA benefit eligibility was pending and not reinstated. Based on the presented evidence, it is found that DHS improperly terminated Claimant's MA benefit eligibility.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, finds that DHS improperly took adverse actions to Claimant's FAP, FIP and MA benefit eligibility. It is ordered that DHS:

- (1) reinstate Claimant's FAP and FIP benefit eligibility effective 8/2012 subject to the finding that Claimant was not uncooperative with establishing child support;
- (2) reinstate Claimant's MA benefit eligibility effective 9/2012 subject to the finding that DHS failed to establish any basis for the benefit termination;
- (3) supplement Claimant for any benefits not issued as a result of the improper DHS actions; and
- (4) remove the relevant child support disqualification from Claimant's support history.

The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

Christian Gardocki
Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/12/2012

Date Mailed: 10/12/2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

