# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-69405

Issue No.: 1000

Case No.: Hearing Date:

March 14, 2013 County: Wayne (43)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

### SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 14, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included JET Case Manager.

### ISSUE

Whether the	Departmen	t properly	processed	Claimant's	application
for:					

☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?	State D
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	Child D
☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State E
Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	

## Disability Assistance (SDA)? Development and Care (CDC)? Emergency Services (SER)?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. In April of 2012 or May of 2012, Claimant applied for FIP.
- 2. On August 8, 2012, Claimant requested a hearing regarding the Department's failure to process the application.
- 3. At the hearing, the Department agreed to determine whether Claimant's FIP application was filed in April of 2012 or May of 2012, reinstate and reprocess

Claimant's FIP application of April of 2012 or May of 2012, and issue appropriate FIP supplements.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: determine whether Claimant's FIP application was filed in April of 2012 or May of 2012, reinstate and reprocess Claimant's FIP application of April of 2012 or May of 2012, and issue appropriate FIP supplements.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT SHALL INITIATE WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER, THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Initiate determination of whether Claimant's FIP application was filed in April of 2012 or May of 2012.
- 2. Initiate reinstatement and reprocessing of Claimant's FIP application of April of 2012 or May of 2012.

3. Issue FIP supplements, in accordance with Department policy.

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 14, 2013

Date Mailed: March 14, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

SCB/tm

cc: