## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

N	THE MATTER OF:			
		Reg. No.: Issue No.:		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne Morris				
	HEARING DE	CISION		
and ele Par	s matter is before the undersigned Administred MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request ephone hearing was held on rticipants on behalf of Claimant included partment of Human Services (Department) in	st for a hearing.  Claimant. Partio	After due notice, a	
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\square$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's penefits for:				
X	Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
1.	Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐	]FIP ⊠FAP □MA	□SDA □CDC.	
2.	Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a	Verification Check	list (DHS-3503).	
3.	Claimant was required to submit requested v	verification by		
4.	On, the Department, the Department, denied Claimant's application, closed Claimant's case			

reduced Claimant' for failure to submit ve	s benefits erification in a timely manner.
5. On, the ⊠ denial of Claimant □ closure of Claimar □ reduction of Claim	it's case.
6. On, C ⊠ denial. ∐ closu	laimant filed a hearing request, protesting the re.   re. reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
•	found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Responsibility and Work 42 USC 601, <i>et seq</i> . T Agency) administers FIP	ence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, he Department (formerly known as the Family Independence pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program is.
program] is established implemented by the fed Regulations (CFR). Th	e Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is eral regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001
Security Act and is imple The Department (formerl	nce (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social mented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). y known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for disabled persons is e as the Family Independe	Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance stablished by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known ence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
and XX of the Social S 1990, and the Personal F The program is impleme and 99. The Departme	ent and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE ecurity Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. Inted by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 of provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

department was unable to determine the claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits.			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly			
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
/s/ Suzanne L. Morris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services			
Date Signed:			
Date Mailed:			

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SLM/jk

CC:

