STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201269114 Issue No.: 3003, 3029

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

September 27, 2012 Livingston County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Sept ember 27, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly reduce the Claimant's F ood Assistance Program (FAP) allotment due to the Claim ant's noncompliance with the Family Independence Program (FIP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 11, 2012, the Claimant failed to attend an assigned JET activity.
- On July 25, 2012, a t riage took place between the Claimant and the Dep artment.
 During the triage, the Claimant told the Department she no long er wanted to be a
 participant in the FIP pr ogram and agreed she did not have good cause for her
 noncompliance with JET.
- On July 25, 2012, the Depar tment sent the Claimant a not ice of case action. The notice indicated the Claimant's FIP benefits were closing and her FAP benefits were being reduced on September 1, 2012.
- 4. On August 3, 2012, the Claimant requested a hearing to protest the FAP reduction.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FIP was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F S) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in T itle 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CF R). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

DHS requires participation in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities associated with the Family Independence Program (FIP) or Refugee Assistance Program (RAPC). Applicants or recipients of Food Assistance Program (FAP) only must accept and maintain employment. There are consequences for a client who refuses to participate in FIP/RAPC employ ment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities or refuses to accept or maintain employment without good cause. BEM 233B.

Disqualify a FAP group member for noncompliance when all the following exist:

- The client was active both FIP/RAPC and FAP on the date of the FIP/RAPC noncompliance.
- The client did not comply with FIP/RAPC employment requirements.
- The client is subject to a penalty on the FIP/RAPC program.
- The client is **not** deferred from FAP work requirements; see DEFERRALS in **BEM 230B**.
- The client did not have good cause for the noncompliance.

In the present case t he Claimant admitted she was noncompliant without good cause regarding her assigned FIP activities. Because the Claimant was active for both the FIP and FAP programs at the time of the noncompliance and because the Claimant was subject to FIP penalties and was not deferred from the FAP work requirements the Claimant was properly removed from the FAP group. Therefore, the Departments removal of the Claimant and subsequent FAP allotment reduction was correct.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I find the Department properly reduced the Claimant's FAP allotment.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, bas ed upon the above Findings of Fa ct and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is **AFFIRMED** for the reasons stated on the record.

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 28, 2012

Date Mailed: September 28, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the he aring decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

