STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-6908 Issue No.: 1018; 6019 Case No.:

Hearing Date: De

December 1, 2011

County: Wayne (49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 1, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Elig ibility Spec ialist, and n, Family Independence Manager.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly reduce Claimant Food As sistance Program (FAP) benefits and close her Child Development and Care (CDC) case on the basis of excess income?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP and CDC benefits.
- Based on increased income, Claimant's F AP benefit s were reduced to \$40 per month effective November 1, 2011, and her CDC case was closed effective October 23, 2011.
- 3. On October 21, 2011, Claim ant filed a hear ing request disputing the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. ☐ The Food Assistance e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food States program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and MC L 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3 151 through R 400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA. IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

Additionally, the Department te stified that it initially im properly calculated Claimant's income to include unemployme nt benefits Claimant was no lon ger receiving. After i t removed such benefits from C laimant's FAP and CDC bud get, the Department concluded that Claimant was entitled to \$40 per month in FAP benefits but was income-

ineligible for CDC benefits. A client with a group size of three, which is Claimant's group size, who has more than \$1990 in monthly gro ss income is not income-eligible for CDC benefits. RFT 270.

At the hearing, the Department testified that, in calculating Claimant's gross income for purposes of her FAP and CDC budget s, it relied on her earnings from Head Start and as an adult home help provider. Claimant verified the gross income used by the Department from her Head Start employment. She also verified her group size and the shelter expenses used by the Department in calculating her FAP and CDC budgets. During the hearing, the Departm ent acknowledged that it had two different amounts as monthly earnings for Claimant for her employ ment as an adult home help provider and had used the higher amount in Claimant's FAP and CDC budgets. The Department agreed that it would recalculate Claimant's gross income for her FAP and CDC budgets using adult home help provider income of \$\$290.11 monthly.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
did act properly when .
☑ did not act properly when calculated Claimant's FAP and CDC budgets.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \boxtimes CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Recalculate Claimant's FAP and CDC budgets;
- 2. Begin reprocessing Claimant's elig ibility for CDC b enefits ba sed on Claimant's updated income figures;
- 3. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits Claimant was entitled to receive but did not from November 1, 2011, ongoing;
- 4. Issue supplements to Claiman t's prov ider for any CDC be nefits Claima nt was entitled to receive but did not from October 2011 ongoing; and
- 5. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 13, 2011

Date Mailed: December 13, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/ctl

