STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012688 3015 October 24, 2011 SSPC East DHS (98)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris	3	
HEARING DEC	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a three way telephone hearing was held on October 24, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	State Disability A	esistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		

☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

1. Claimant ☑ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

⊠ du	n 8/30/11, the Department defined Claimant's application closed Claimant's case defined to excess income, as it determined the Claimant's monthly income in the int of \$4728 exceeded the monthly income limit.
\boxtimes	n 9/19/11, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) tice of the denial. Closure.
	n 9/28/11, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	rtment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the es Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Responsible Agendary	ne Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program live October 1, 1996.
progra imple Regu Agen	the Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal lations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 4001 through Rule 400.3015.
Secui The	ne Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence cy) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.
	the Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is nistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for di Service progra	ne State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance isabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ces (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA am pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, during the hearing it was established and conceded by the Department that the income amount of \$4728 that it calculated as monthly income for the Claimant, and which it used to deny the FAP application was not correct. Based on these facts the Department must recalculate the FAP budget and determine again if the Claimant is income eligible. At the hearing the Claimant confirmed bi monthly earned income of \$1083 for each 2 week period and additional earned income of \$322 per month. No basis was presented by the Department as to how the income of \$4728 was determined, and thus it did not sustain its burden that it correctly denied the Claimant's FAP application based on her actual earned income.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall initiate reopening of the Claimant's FAP application retroactive to the application date and shall recalculate the Claimaint's FAP budget to determine income eligibility.
- 2. The Department shall use the correct income amounts, as provided to the Department by the Claimant during her interview with the Department and confirmed by the Department's records at the hearing.
- 3. If the Department determines that the Claimaint is eligible to receive FAP benefits, the Department shall issue a supplement for FAP benefits the Claimaint was otherwise eligible to receive in accordance with Department Policy.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 25, 2011

Date Mailed: October 25, 2011

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

