STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-68645 1038 October 11, 2012 Wayne (82-43)					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer							
HEARING DECISION							
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 11, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included							
<u>ISSUE</u>							
Did the Department properly $igtimes$ deny Claimant's application $igsqcup$ close Claimant's case for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							
 Claimant							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).					

 On July 13, 2012, the Department	ork
 On July 13, 2012, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. 	
 On August 1, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	:he
∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Person Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-1942 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.31 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) prograeffective October 1, 1996.	93, nce 01
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (Faprogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	is ral ce
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CF The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and M 400.105.	R). ice
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	is
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistant for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Humservices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SI program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	an DA

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.				
Additionally, BEM 233A, "Failure to Meet Employment and/or Self-Sufficiency-Related Requirements: FIP," states that, "As a condition of eligibility all WEIs [Work Eligible Individual] and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities." BEM 233A (2012), p. 1.				
At the hearing, Claimant presented credible and unrebutted testimony that she has a part-time job nine days a month, and that she reported employment and wages to the Department. She stated that she received a Work Participation Program Appointment Notice dated June 19, 2012, scheduling her to appear for orientation on July 2, 2012 She stated that she was scheduled to work on that day.				
At the hearing, Claimant gave credible and unrebutted testimony that between June 19 and July 2, she called her Specialist approximately thirty times to notify her and reschedule the appointment. She also went to the local office several times and spoke with another Specialist. Claimant testified that the Department failed to reschedule her work participation appointment.				
As set forth above, BEM 233A requires customers to work. Based on Claimant's testimony that she was at work, and all of the testimony and documentation considered as a whole, it is found and determined that Claimant was not in noncompliance with the FIP program requirements. Claimant's duty pursuant to BEM 233A is either to work of to participate in self-sufficiency-related activities. As Claimant was at work, she was in compliance with FIP. The Department erred in denying Claimant's application and shall be reversed.				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case 				
for:				
DECISION AND ORDER				

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision of the record.	sion
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:	OF

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's application dated April 30, 2012.
- 2. Initiate procedures to determine Claimant's eligibility for FIP benefits.
- 3. Initiate procedures to provide Claimant with retroactive and ongoing FIP benefits at the benefit level to which she is entitled.
- 4. Initiate procedures to delete from Claimant's records all penalties and sanctions imposed as a result of the Department's denial of her April 30, 2012, application.
- 5. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 19, 2012

Date Mailed: October 19, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-68645/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

