STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-68607

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 6, 2012

County: Wayne (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 6, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly c alculate Cl aimant's F ood Ass istance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant received FAP benefits in the amount of \$453.00.
- 2. On July 31, 2012, Claimant filed a hear ing reques t, protesting the amount of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 19 77, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, BEM 550 instructs that eighty percent of the earned income of a household be added to unearned income to determine gross income. Adjusted gross income in a household of four is determined by subtracting the standar diamount of \$154.00 (RFT 255). Monthly net income for FAP pure poses is then determined by subtracting allowable expenses, such as a shelter deduction, if any. BEM 554.

In the present case, Claimant did not disp ute the figures input into the FAP benefit s budget regarding Claimant's gr oup monthly income and her re nt expens e. After a careful review of the FAP benefits budget, I conclude that the Department correctly calculated Claimant's FAP benefits in accordance with Department policy.

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge	e concludes that the Department
properly c alculated Claimant's FAP benefits FAP benefits.	improperly calc ulated Claimant's

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the De ☑ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.		ons
Accordingly, the Department's FAP c alculation decision is REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	△ AFFIRMED	

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Bruke

Date Signed: September 13, 2012

Date Mailed: September 13, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/cl

cc: Wayne County DHS (31)