## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

		R OF.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201268508 1038 October 11, 2012 Wayne (15)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkir	1			
HEARING DEC	CISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on October 11, 201 on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Pathuman Services (Department) included Specialist.	t for a hearing. 12, from Detroit, Mi <u>irticipants on b</u> eha	After due notice, a ichigan. Participants		
ISSUE				
Did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant for:	's application 🗌 c	lose Claimant's case		
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li> <li>☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)?</li> </ul>		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material t		rial, and substantial		
Claimant ☑ applied for benefits ☐ received	benefits for:			

☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

Family Independence Program (FIP).
Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Direct Support Services (DSS).

2.	On July 9, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to failure to attend and participate in the Jobs, Education and Training program prior to her case opening.
3.	On July 9, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On July 30, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.

$\bigsqcup$ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE
and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Direct Support Services (DSS) is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

Additionally, in this case, Claimant applied for FIP benefits in connection with the TC-60 settlement and her application was dated February 29, 2012. On June 14, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a Work Participation Program Appointment Notice notifying her that she was required to attend the JET orientation on June 25, 2012. Claimant did not attend the orientation and the Department sent her a July 9, 2012 Notice of Case Action denying her FIP application. Although the Notice stated that the reason for the denial was Claimant's child's receipt of Supplemental Security Income (SSI), the Department testified that the fact that the child receives SSI was irrelevant to the denial of the application. Rather, Claimant's FIP application was denied because Claimant had failed to comply with employment-related activities without good cause. The hearing addressed the alleged noncompliance issue.

In order to increase their employability and obtain employment, work eligible individuals (WEIs) seeking FIP are required to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activity unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. BEM 230A (December 1, 2011), p 1; BEM 233A (December 1, 2011), p 1. Work participation program engagement is a condition of FIP eligibility. BEM 229 (December 1, 2011), p 5. While the FIP application is pending, clients must engage in and comply with all work participation program assignments. BEM 229, pp 3, 5. An applicant who fails or refuses to appear and participate with the JET program or other employment service provider without good cause is noncompliant. BEM 233A, pp 1-2. Failure by a client to participate fully in assigned activities while the FIP application is pending will result in denial of FIP benefits. BEM 229, p 5; BEM 233A, p 5. A good cause hearing is not required for applicants who are non-compliant prior to the FIP case opening. BEM 233A, p 7.

In this case, the Department sent Claimant a June 14, 2012 Work Participation Program Appointment Notice requiring that she attend a JET orientation on June 25, 2012. When Claimant did not attend the orientation, the Department sent her a Notice of Case Action denying her FIP application. At the hearing, Claimant admitted that she did not attend the orientation but testified that she did not receive the Notice. A copy of the Appointment Notice introduced into evidence showed that it was sent to a address. Claimant credibly testified that in May 2012 she moved to a home on at the address she verified on the record as her current mailing address, and advised her worker by phone of her move. She credibly testified that her prior worker was

aware that she was being evicted from the reported her change of address to the Department in May. She also testified that she had had six workers on her case. Under these facts, Claimant established that she advised the Department of her new address and did not timely receive the Appointment Notice, which was sent to her at a prior address. Because Claimant did not receive notice of the orientation appointment, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied her FIP application based on her failure to participate in employment-related activities.					
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case					
for:					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC DSS decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.					
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:					
1 Reregister Claimant's FIP application dated February 29, 2012:					

- Reregister Claimant's FIP application dated February 29, 2012;
   Begin reprocessing the application in accordance with Department policy;
- 3. Issue supplements for any FIP benefits Claimant was eligible to receive but did not from February 29, 2012, ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/19/2012

Date Mailed: <u>10/19/2012</u>

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## ACE/hw

cc: