STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF: Docket

No. 2012-68426 EDW Amended Case No.

Appellant

AMENDED DECISION AND ORDER

This Amended Deci sion replaces the Deci sion and Order mailed on . The undersigned administrative law judge determined that the previous decision contained irrelevant materials not pertaining to this case and was in need of revision. This Decision and Order should be considered the final decision and order and the previous decision should be discarded.

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 et seq. upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on Appellant's mother appeared and testified on the Appellant's behalf. Office Manager, Macomb-Oak land Regional Cent er, In c. (MORC), appeared on behalf of the Department's Waiver Ag ency. Appellant's Social W ork Supports Coordinator, and RN, Appellant's

Nurse Supports Coordinator with MORC testified on behalf of the Waiver Agency.

ISSUE

Did the Department's Waiver Agency properly terminate Appellant's MI Choice Waiver services?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Appellant is a DOB DOB who was enrolled in the MI Choice Waiver Program. He had been receiving personal care and homemaking services. (Exhibits A-C, E and testimony).
- 2. The Department contracts with the Waiver Agency to provide MI Choic e

Waiver services to eligible beneficiaries.

- 3. The Appellant liv es with his mother who is his primary helper/caregiver. (Exhibit E).
- 4. On Appellant's Social Work Supports Coordinator, and RN, Appellant 's Nurse Supports Coordinator met with Appellant to do a Nursing F acility Level of Care Determination (NFLO C) to determine Appe Ilant's continued eligibility for the MI Choice Waiver Program. A ppellant was much improved but was still receiving physical therapy and speech therapy and remained eligible for the MI Choice program under D oor 5. (Exhibits A, C, D and testimony).
- 5. On again met with Appellant's therapy was c ompleted, so again met with Appellant on to do a reassessment for the MI Choice program. A Nursing Facility Level of Care Determination (NFLOC) was completed and it was determined that Appellant did not qualif y through any of the seven doors. The Waiver Agency determined that Appellant's needs could be met through the DHS Home Help program. (Exhibits A, C, D and testimony).
- 6. On the waiver agency sent an Advance Action Notice to the Appellant notifying him of a termination of MI Choic e Waiver services including his personal care and hom emaking). (Exhibits B, D an d testimony).
- 7. On MAHS received the Appellant's request for an Administrative Hearing. (Exhibit 1).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Ass istance Program is establis hed purs uant to Tit le XIX of t he Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with stat e statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

This Appellant was receiving services through the Department's Home and Community Based Services for Elderly and Disabled (HCBS/ED). The waiver is called MI Choice in Michigan. The program is funded through the federal Center s for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS, formerly HCFA) to the Michigan Department of Community Health (Department). Regional agencies function as the Department's administrative agency.

> Waivers are intended to prov ide the flexibility needed to enable States to try new or different approaches to the efficient and cost-effective delivery of health care services,

or to adapt their programs to t he special needs of particular areas or groups of recipients. Waivers allow exceptions to State plan requirements and permit a State to implement innovative programs or activities on a time-limited basis, and subject to specific saf eguards for the protection of recipients and the program. Detailed rules for waivers are set forth in subpart B of part 431, subpart A of part 440 and subpart G of part 441 of this chapter. [42 CFR 430.25(b)].

The policy regarding enrollment in the MI Choic e Waiver program is contained in the *Medicaid Provider Manual, MI Choice Waiver*, October 1, 2012, which provides in part:

SECTION 1 – GENERAL INFORMATION

MI Choice is a waiver program o perated by the Michigan Department of Community Hea Ith (MDCH) to deliver h ome and community-based services to elderly persons and persons with physical dis abilities who meet the Michigan nursing facility leve I of care criteria that supports required long-term care (as opposed to rehabilitative or limited term stay) provided in a nursing facility. The waiver is approved by the Ce nters for Medicare and Medicaid Service (CMS) under section 1915(c) of the Social Security Act. MDCH carries out its waiver obligations through a network of enrolled providers that operate as organized health care delivery systems (OHCDS). These e ntities are commonly referred to as waiv er ag encies. MDCH and its waiver agencies must abide by the terms and conditions set forth in the waiver.

MI Choice services are available to qualified partici pants throughout the state and all provis ions of the program are ava ilable to each qualified participant unless otherwise noted in this policy and approved by CMS. (p. 1).

* * *

SECTION 2 - ELIGIBILITY

The MI Choice program is available to pers ons 18 years of age or older who meet each of three eligibility criteria:

- An applicant must establis h his/her financial eligibility for Medicaid services as described in the F inancial Eligibility subsection of t his chapter.
- The applicant must meet functional eligibility requirements through the online version of the Michigan Medicaid Nursing Facility Level of Care Determination (LOCD).

• It must be established that the a pplicant needs at least one waiver service and that the service needs of the applicant c annot be fully met by existing State Plan or other services.

All criteria must be met in order to es tablish eligibility for the MI Cho ice program. MI Choice participants must continue to meet these eligibility requirements on an ongoing bas is to remain enrolled in the program. (p. 1).

* * *

2.2. FUNCTIONAL ELIGIBILITY

The MI Choice waiver agency must verify applic ant appropriateness for services by completing the online version of the Michigan Medica id Nursing Facility Level of Care Determination (LOCD) within 14 c alendar days after the date of participant's enrollment. Refer to the Directory Appendix for website information. The LOCD is discussed in the Michigan Medicaid Nursing Facility Level of Care Determination subsection of this chapter. Additional information c an be f ound in t he Nursing Facility Coverages Chapter and is applicable to MI Choic e applicants and participants. (p. 1).

* * *

2.2.A. MIC HIGAN MEDI CAID NURSING FACILI TY LEVEL O F CARE DETERMINATION

MI Choice applicants are evaluated for functional eligibility via the Michigan Medicaid Nursing F acility Level of Care Determination. The LOCD is available online through Mi chigan's Single Sign-on System. Refer to the Directory A ppendix for website informa tion. Applicants must qualify for functional eligibility through one of seven doors. These doors are:

- Door 1: Activities of Daily Living Dependency
- Door 2: Cognitive Performance
- Door 3: Physician Involvement
- Door 4: Treatments and Conditions
- Door 5: Skilled Rehabilitation Therapies
- Door 6: Behavioral Challenges

• Door 7: Service Dependency

The LOCD must be completed in per son by a health care professional (physician, registered nurse (RN), licensed practical nurse (LPN), licensed social worker (BSW or MSW), or a physician assistant) or be completed by staff that have direct oversight by a health care professional.

The online version of the LOCD must be completed within fourteen (14) calendar days after the date of enrollment in MI Choice for the following:

- All new Medicaid-eligible enrollees
- Non-emergency transfers of Medi caid-eligible participants from their current MI Choic e waiver a gency to another MI Choic e waiver agency
- Non-emergency transfers of Medi caid-eligible residents from a nursing facility that is undergoing a voluntary program closure an d who are enrolling in MI Choice

Annual online LOCDs are not re redeterminations, progress notes, or demonstrate that the participant continues to meet the level of care criteria on a cont inuing bas is. If waiver agency participant no longer meets the functi participation (e.g., demonstrates a another face-to-face online ver flecting the change in functional status. This subsequent redetermination must be noted in the c ase record and signed by the individual conducting the determination. (pp. 1-2).

* * *

2.3.B. REASSESSMENT OF PARTICIPANTS

Reassessments are conducted by eit her a properly lic ensed r egistered nurse or a social wor ker, whichever is most appropriate to address the circumstances of the participant. A team approach that inc ludes both disciplines is encouraged whenev er feasible or necessary. Reassessments are done in person with the participant at the participant's home. (p. 4).

The Waiver Agency provided evidence the at on Appellant's Social W ork Supports Coordinator, and Nurse Supports Coordinator me t with Appellant to do a Nursing Facility Level of Care

Determination (NFLOC) to determine App ellant's continued e ligibility for the MI Choice Waiver Program. Appellant was much improved but was still receiving physical therapy and speech therapy and remained eligible for the MI Choice program under Door 5.

stated that Appellant's speech and physical therapy ended on , so she returned to Appellant's re sidence on and did another assessment. stated another Nursing F acility Level of Car е Determination (NFLOC) was completed and it was determined that Appellant did not qualify through any of the se ven doors. (See Exhibit C). The Waiver Agenc У determined that Appellant's needs could be met through the DHS Home Help program. Appellant was sent an Adv ance Action Notice stating that Appellant On was being terminated from the MI Choice Waiver program and that his per sonal care and homemaking services would end effective (Exhibit B).

Appellant's mother testifi ed that the Waiver Agents explained to her that the assessment depended on the Appellant's condition within 7 days of the assessment and that medical information older t han 7 day s could not be considered in the current assessment. Appellant's mother stated Appellant is still not able to care for himself and she does everything for him. She stated th e Appellant has a good self concept, but his health concerns have brought him down. Appellant's mother stated she was just trying to get help for her son.

The Appellant bears the burden of proving, by a preponderanc e of evidenc e, that the waiver agency did not properly terminate his MI Choice Waiver services. A preponderance of the material and credible ev idence establishes that the MI Choice Waiver agency acted in accordance with the policy contained in the Medicaid Provider Manual, and its actions were proper when it terminated t he Appe llant's MI Choice e program services. Therefore, the Appellant has failed to prove that the waiver agency's actions were not proper when it terminated the Appellant's MI Choice program services.

Based upon the reassessment performed by the waiver agent on Appellant was no longer eligible for MI Choice program. Therefore, the waiver Agenc y acted properly to terminate the Appellant from the program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the MI Choice Waiver agency properly terminat ed Appellant's MI Choice Waiver services.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

William D. Bond Administrative Law Judge for James K. Haveman, Director Michigan Department of Community Health



Date Mailed: 10/19/2012

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.