# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-68419 Issue No.: 2009; 4031

Case No.: Hearing Date:

November 1, 2012

County: Ingham

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Ad ministrative Law Judge upon Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Mi chigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which gov ern the administrative hearing a nd appeal process. After due notice, a telephone hearing was commenced on November 1, 2012, fr om Lansing, Michig an. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Lead Worker

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) pr operly deny Claimant 's Medic al Assistance (MA), Retro-MA and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On March 8, 2012, Cla imant filed an application for MA, Retro-MA and SDA benefits, alleging disability.
- (2) On July 23, 2012, t he Medic al Re view T eam (MRT) denied Claimant's application indicating her impairment lacked duration for MA, Retro-MA and SDA benefits. (Department Exhibit A, pp 1-2).
- (3) On July 27, 2012, the department case worker sent Claimant notice that her application was denied.
- (4) On August 1, 2012, Cla imant filed a request for a hearing to c ontest the department's negative action.

- (5) On September 17, 2012, the Stat e Hearing Review Team (SHRT) found Claimant was not disabled. (Department Exhibit B, pages 1-2).
- (6) At the time of the hearing, Claimant was 49 years old with a birth date; was 5'4" in height and weighed 189 pounds.



- (7) Claimant is a high school graduate.
- (8) Claimant was applying for Social Security disability benefits at the time of the hearing.
- (9) Claimant has a nicoti ne abuse problem. She does not drink or have a drug abuse problem.
- (10) Claimant has never had a driver's license.
- (11) Claimant is not curre ntly working. She last worked in 2008, unloading trucks for a year and prior to that, as a manager of a floral shop for fifteen years.
- (12) Claimant alleges disability on the basis of carpal tunnel sy ndrome, glaucoma, hiatal her nia, osteoporos is, anxiety, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), ulnar neuropathy, and hypertension.
- (13) Medical evidence indicates Claim ant has had long st anding symptoms of carpal tunnel syndrome and ulnar neuropathy at the elbow bilaterally for several years and was schedu led to have carpal tunnel release two years ago. Since then, her problems have be come significantly worse and she also has pain radiating down her neck to both arms and at the bases of both thumbs. Her physica I examination revealed positive T inel's and positive Phalen's, as well as positive e grind test at the carpome tacarpal joint of both thumbs. An MRI of Claimant's right finger showed arthritic changes within the trapezial first me tacarpal joint with joint space narrowing and tiny osteophytic spurs identified. The MRI of Claimant's left first digit revealed mild joint space e narrowing and small osteoph ytic spurs arising from the trapezial first metacarpal joint.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disa bility or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in T itle XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Mi chigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Current legislative amendments to the Act delineate eligibility criteria as implemented by department policy set forth in program manual s. 2004 PA 344, Se c. 604, es tablishes the State Disability Assistance program. It reads in part:

Sec. 604 (1). The department sha ll operate a state di sability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection (3), persons eligible for this program shall includ e needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempt from the Supplemental Security Income citizenship re quirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting one or more of the following requirements:

(b) A per son with a physical or mental impairment whic h meets federal SSI disab ility standards, exce pt that the minimum duration of the dis ability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Specifically, this Act provides minimal cash assistance to individuals with some type of severe, temporary disability which prevents him or her from engaging in substantial gainful work activity for at least ninety (90) days.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

... the inability to do any subs tantial gainful activity by reason of any medically dete rminable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in shequential order:

... We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further. 20 CF R 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not dis abled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in deat h? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analys is continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client hav e the Residual Func tional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step consider s the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is a pproved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

. . . You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how se vere it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not al one establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a). The medical evidence must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Sy mptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Y our statements alone are not enough to establish t hat there is a physic all or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinic al diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicates pecific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientat ion, development, or

perception. They must all so be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.

(c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, phy siological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically accept—able laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic—techniques include chemical tes—ts, el ectrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X -rays), and psychologic al tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.9 13(e). You can only be found disabled if yo u are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demons trable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analys is herein, Claimant is not ine ligible at the first step as Claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de min imus* standard. Ruling a ny ambiguities in Claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that Claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analys is continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by Claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f). In this case, this ALJ finds that Claimant

cannot return to past relevant work on the bas is of the medical evidence. The analysis continues.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applie s the biographical data of the applic ant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence on the whole rec ord, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant could not do a full range of sedent ary work pursuant to Medical Vocationa I Grid Rule Footnote 201.00(h) due to multiple impairments.

In this case, Claimant's MRI and the evidence e pursuant to her treating neurosurgeon documents Claimant's need for caerpal tunnel surgery. It is noted that at review Claimant's surgery will be assessed as controlling with regards to continuing eligibility.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department's actions were incorrect.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is **REVERSED**.

The department is ORDERED to make a determination if Claimant meets the non-medical criteria for the MA, Retro-MA and SDA programs. If so, the department is ORDERED to open an MA case from the date of application, including any retro months if eligible, and issue supplemental benefits to claimant.

The department is ORDERED to review t his case in one year from the date of this Decision and Order.

Vicki
Administrative

Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 23, 2013

Date Mailed: January 23, 2013

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

#### 2012-68419/VLA

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### VLA/las

