STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-68154

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: September 6, 2012

County: Wayne (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 6, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included FIS.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for FAP.
- 2. Claimant was in a household size of three, including Claimant and her two children.
- 3. Claimant's husband, who is deployed in the military, pr ovides monthly ho usehold income in the amount of \$2,486.00.
- Claimant pays a monthly mortgage of \$855.00.
- 5. The Department determined that Claimant was not entitled to FAP benefits based on the household net income.
- 6. On July 26, 2012, Claimant filed a hear ing request, protesting the denial of FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Additionally, BEM 550 instructs that eighty percent of the earned income of a household be added to unearned income to determine gross income. Adjusted gross income in a household of three is determined by subtracting the standard amount of \$146.00 (RFT 255). Monthly net income for FAP pur poses is then deter mined by subtracting allowable expenses, such as a shelter deduction, if any. BEM 554.

In the present case, Claimant did not dispute the figures used by the Department, such as monthly income (\$2,486.00) and mortgage (\$855.00). After a careful review of the budget presented at the hearing by the Department, I find that the Department was correct in determining that Claimant was not entitled to FAP benefits, in accordance with Department policy.

Department policy.	
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department	
☑ properly denied Claimant FAP benefits benefits.	improperly denied Claimant FAP
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☑ did not act properly. ☑ did not act properly.	
Accordingly, the Department's FAP c alculat REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record	<u> </u>

Susan C. Burke
Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 13, 2012

Date Mailed: September 13, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of

the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/cl

cc: Wayne County DHS (18)