STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201267908 Issue No.: 2006; 3008 Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 3, 2012
County: Wayne DHS (49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 3, 2012 from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above named claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS) included Specialist.

<u>ISSUE</u>

The issue is whether DHS properly terminated Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility due to a failure to verify savings account information.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP and MA benefit recipient.
- 2. Claimant's FAP and MA benefit eligibility were scheduled for redetermination prior to the end of 7/2012.
- 3. On 7/11/12, DHS mailed Claimant a Verification Checklist (VCL) to verify Claimant's savings account information.
- 4. The VCL due date was 7/23/12.
- 5. Claimant failed to verify her savings account information.

- 6. On 7/24/12, DHS initiated termination of Claimant's FAP and MA benefit eligibility effective 8/2012.
- 7. On 8/1/12, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FAP and MA benefit termination.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (formerly known as the Food Stamp Program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). DHS administers the FAP pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 400.10, *et seq.*, and Michigan Administrative Code R 400.3001-3015. DHS regulations are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) and the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM).

DHS must periodically redetermine an individual's eligibility for benefit programs. BAM 210 (5/2012), p. 1. The redetermination process includes thorough review of all eligibility factors. *Id.* A complete redetermination is required at least every 12 months. *Id.*

The redetermination process begins with DHS mailing a redetermination packet in the month prior to the end of the benefit period. *Id.*, p. 5. The packet consists of forms and requests for verification that are necessary for DHS to process the redetermination. The forms needed for redetermination may vary, though a Redetermination (DHS-1010) is an acceptable review form for all programs. For MA benefit eligibility, verifications and the Redetermination are due the date the packet is due. *Id.*, p. 11. For MA benefits, the benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. *Id.*, p. 2.

DHS is to use the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist to request verification. BAM 130 (5-2012), p. 3. DHS must give clients at least ten days to submit verifications. *Id.* DHS must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. *Id.* at 2. For FAP benefits, DHS is to send a negative action notice when:

- the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or
- the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. (*Id.*, p. 5.)

The present case concerns a redetermination of FAP and MA benefits beginning 8/2012. It was not disputed that Claimant listed a savings account on her Redetermination form but did not submit an accompanying document verifying the savings account balance. DHS specifically requested a savings account statement on 7/11/12 via VCL. It was not disputed that Claimant failed to respond to the VCL or the subsequently mailed Notice of Case Action informing Claimant of the FAP and MA benefit termination. DHS established that Claimant's failure to return a savings account statement properly resulted in termination of Claimant's FAP and MA benefit eligibility.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS properly terminated Claimant's FAP and MA benefit eligibility effective 8/2012 due to a failure to verify savings account information. The actions taken by DHS are AFFIRMED.

Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

(Muslin Dardock

Date Signed: 10/11/2012

Date Mailed: <u>10/11/2012</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

201267908/CG

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw



