STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN .	THE MATTER OF:			
		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-67816 1030; 3052	
ΑD	MINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne Morri	s		
	HEARING DECIS	SION		
and tele Par aut	s matter is before the undersigned Administration MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request ephone hearing was held on rticipants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant horized hearing representative. Participants rvices (Department) included	for a hearing.	After due notice, a Michigan. , claimant's	
<u>ISSUE</u>				
	Claimant receive an overissuance of progritled to recoup?	am benefits that	the Department is	
	FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>		
	e Administrative Law Judge, based on the delence on the whole record, finds as material fac	•	rial, and substantial	
	During the period of for:	, Claima	ant received benefits	
	☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).		Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	
	The Department determined that Claimant received FIP FAP MA SDA CDC overished period of		nount of \$403 during	

3.	The Department determined that Claimant received a ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC overissuance in the amount of \$1552 during the period of .		
4.	The overissuance was due to $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		
5.	On the Department sent notice of the overissuance and a repayment agreement to Claimant.		
6.	On Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's recoupment action.		
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, <i>et seq.</i> The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.			
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.		
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.		
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.		
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98		

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the claimant and her representative admitted that the department was correct in their assertion that Social Security income had started being paid by the Social Security Administration. The claimant and her representative even admitted that they filed the hearing request, knowing full well the benefits would be kept at previous higher levels and they would be responsible for paying back the FIP and FAP benefits. While the claimant's representative argued that the Social Security Administration (SSA) had already withheld the amount of FIP benefits to repay the DHS, this is patently false. The SSA uses the amount of other income, such as assistance to compute the amount of SSI to pay the claimant, but this money is not withheld from the SSI check to repay the DHS. Any overissuance (OI) the claimant received is still due and owing from the claimant, not the SSA. Claimant did receive the OI, as she and her representative both acknowledged, because the timely hearing request pended the negative action and she then accrued more benefits than she was entitled to receive.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that Claimant				
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.				
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.				
/s/				
Suzanne L. Morris				
Administrative Law Judge				
For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services				
Date Signed:				
Date Mailed:				

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SLM/jk

CC:

