### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:	2012-67299
Issue No:	4060

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Morris

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to 7 CFR 273.18; 45 CFR 233.20(a)(13); MCL 400.9; MCL 400.37; MCL 400.43(a); MAC R 400.941 and MCL 24.201, *et seq.*, upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (department) to establish an overissuance of benefits to respondent. After due notice was mailed to respondent, a hearing was held at which respondent did appear.

## **ISSUE**

Did the respondent receive an overissuance (OI) of Family Independence Program (FIP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits that the department is entitled to recoup?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The claimant submitted an assistance application (DHS-1171) on , , requesting FAP and FIP benefits.
- 2. Although the claimant properly reported her income, the department failed to budget it in a timely manner, which resulted in an OI of \$1211 in FIP benefits and \$718 in FAP benefits.
- 3. The OI was an agency error.
- 4. The claimant was mailed notice of the overissuance on

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

Departmental policy, BAM 725, Collection Actions, states that when the client group receives more benefits than entitled to receive, DHS must attempt to recoup the overissuance (OI). Repayment of an OI is the responsibility of anyone who was an eligible, disqualified, or other adult in the program group at the time the OI occurred. Bridges will collect from all adults who were a member of the case. OIs on active programs are repaid by lump sum cash payments, monthly cash payments (when court ordered), and administrative recoupment (benefit reduction). OI balances on inactive cases must be repaid by lump sum or monthly cash payments unless collection is suspended.

Respondent's FIP and FAP overissuance was determined to be agency error. Respondent's hearing testimony is that it was through the error on part of her caseworker that she received FIP and FAP benefits she was not entitled to receive. Even if the overissuance to the respondent was department's error, departmental policy still requires that the department recoup it. Respondent is therefore responsible for repayment of the overissuance.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides decides that the respondent received an overissuance of FIP and FAP benefits for the time period of that the department that the department is entitled to recoup.

Department is therefore entitled to recoup FIP overissuance of \$1211 and FAP overissuance of \$718 from the respondent. SO ORDERED.

<u>/s/</u>

Suzanne L. Morris Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services



**NOTICE**: The law provides that within 60 days from the mailing date of the above hearing Decision the Respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she resides or has his or her principal place of business in this state, or in the circuit court for Ingham County. Administrative Hearings, on its own motion, or on request of a party within 60 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, may order a rehearing.

SLM/jk

