## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MAT	TER OF:	Reg. No: Issue No:	201267107 1025, 2006, 3008
		Case No: Hearing Date: Kent County DI	August 22, 2012
ADMINISTR	ATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scu	lly	
	HEARING D	ECISION	
and MCL 4 telephone he on behalf	s before the undersigned Adminition 20.37 following Claimant's requesting was held on August 22, 20 of Claimant included of Human Services (Department)	uest for a hearing. A 012, from Lansing, Micl Participa	fter due notice, a
	ISSU	<u>JE</u>	
Did the Dep for:	artment properly 🔲 deny Claima	ant's application 🛚 clos	se Claimant's case
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		☐ Adult Medical Assi☐ State Disability Ass☐ Child Developmen	,
	FINDINGS (	OF FACT	
	strative Law Judge, based on the whole record, finds as materi	•	al, and substantial
1.	Claimant applied for benefit Independence Program (FIP). Solution Food Assistance Program (SDA), Medical Assistance (CDC).	, Adult Medical A	Assistance (AMP), sability Assistance
2.	On June 27, 2012, the Depa Suport.		

	On June 27, 2012, the Department sent $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Claimant $oxed{\square}$ Claimant's authorized Representative (AR) notice of the $oxed{\square}$ denial. $oxed{\boxtimes}$ closure.		
_	On July 19, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\square$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.		
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
Responsibility ( 42 USC 601, ( Agency) admin	Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence histers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) programmer 1, 1996.		
program] is e implemented b Regulations (C	Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence histers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001-00.3015.		
Security Act ar The Departme	al Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), and of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence nisters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL		
	Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.		
for disabled po Services (form	Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance ersons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human erly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule		
and XX of the 1990, and the F The program is and 99. The	Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		

Date Mailed: August 23, 2012

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application, improperly denied Claimant's application, properly closed Claimant's case, improperly closed Claimant's case for: AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\boxtimes$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\boxtimes$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ <b>AFFIRMED</b> $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
<u>/</u> s/
Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: August 23, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/tb



