STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P. O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 335-2484; Fax (517) 373-4147

IN THE MATTER OF:

Docket No. 2012-67097 CMH Case No.

Appellant

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, and upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing he Id on Appellant's behalf. Appellant's a servic e coordinator from the Early On Program, also test ified a witne ss for App ellant. Assistant Corporat ion Counsel, represented the Macomb County Community Mental Health Authority (CMH). CMH Access Center Manager, appeared as a witness for the CMH.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the CMH properly deny Appellant's request for supports coordination?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Appellant was born on and has been diagnosed with ventricular septal defects, arterial septal defects, and developmental coordination disorder. (Exhibit 1, pages 16, 22, 50, 64).
- The CMH is under cont ract with the Department of Community Health (MDCH) to provide Medicaid covered services to people who reside in the CMH service area.
- 3. Appellant has been rece iving Supplemen tal Securit y Income from the Social Security Administration and resp ite services from the Infant Mental Health Program. Appellant has also been receiving s ervices through the Early On Program. (Exhibit 1, page 11; Testimony of Testimony).
- 4. Appellant did not meet the cri teria for special education at his school. (Exhibit 1, page 27).

- 5. In Appellant's mother requested supports coordination from the CMH on Appellant's behalf. (Exhibit 1, page 6).
- 6. On **Construction** the CMH sent a not ice to Appellant notifying him that the request for supports coordination was denied because "the consumer does not meet criteria for the services requested." (Exhibit 1, page 6).
- 7. The Michigan Adminis trative Hearing System (MAHS) received a request for hearing filed on behalf of Appellant on (Exhibit 1, pages 10-12).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Ass istance Program is establis hed purs uant to Tit le XIX of t he Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with stat e statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act, enacted in 1965, authorizes Federal grants to St ates for medical assist ance to low-income persons who are age 65 or over, blind, disabled, or members of families with dependent children or qualified pregnant women or ch ildren. T he program is jointly financed by the Feder al and State governments and administered by States. Within broad Federal rules, each State decides eligible groups, types and range of services, payment levels for services, and administrative and operating procedures . Paymen ts for services are made directly by the State to the individuals or entities that furnish the services. [42 CFR 430.0.]

The State plan is a comp rehensive written statement submitted by the agency describing the nature and scope of its Medicaid program and giving assurance that it will be administered in conformity with the specific requirements of title XIX, the regulations in this Chapter IV, and other applicable official issuances of the Department. The State plan contains all information necessary for CMS to determine whether the plan can be approved to serve as a basis for F ederal financial participation (FFP) in the State program. [42 CFR 430.10.]

Docket No. 2012-67097 CMH Decision and Order

Section 1915(b) of the Social Security Act also provides:

The Secret ary, to the extent he finds it to be cost-effective and efficient and not inconsistent with the purposes of this subchapter, may waive such requirements of section 1396a of this title (other than subsec tion(s) of this section) (other than sections 1396a(a)(15), 1396a(bb), and 1396a(a)(10)(A) of this title insofar as it r equires provision of the care and services described in section 1 396d(a)(2)(C) of this title) as may be necessary for a State... [42 USC 1396n(b).]

The State of Michigan has opted to simultaneously utilize the authorities of the 1915(b) and 1915(c) programs to provide a continuum of services to disabled and/or elderly populations. Under approval from the Cent ers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) the Department of Community Healt h (MDCH) operates a section 1915(b) and 1915(c) Medicaid Managed Specialty Services and Support program waiver.

The Medic aid Provider Manual (MPM), Mental He alth/Substance Abus e Section, articulates the relevant policy regarding e ligibility for mental health services and a beneficiary must met the eligibil ity requirements for services. With respect to eligibility, the MPM states:

1.6 BENEFICIARY ELIGIBILITY

A Medicaid beneficiary with mental illness, serious emotional disturbance or developmental dis ability who is enrolled in a Medicaid Health Plan (MHP) is eligible for specia Ity mental health s ervices and supports when his needs exceed the MHP benefits. (Refer to the M edicaid Health Plans Chapter of this manual for additional information.) Such need must be documented in the individual's clinical record. [MPM, Mental Health/Substance Abuse Section, April 1, 2012, page 3.]

Here, Appellant is not eligible for services because he cannot demonstrate that he has a mental illness, serious emotional disturbance or developmental disability. The state of Michigan's Mental Health Code defines those first two conditions in MCL 330.1100d as follows:

(2) "Serious emotional dist urbance" means a diagnosable mental, behavioral, or emotional disorder affecting a minor that exists or has existed during the past year for a period of time sufficient to meet diagnos tic criteria specifie d in the most recent diagnos tic and stat istical manual of mental disorders published by the American psychiatric association and approved by the department and that has resulted in functional impairment that subs tantially int erferes with or limits the minor's role or functi oning in family, school, or community activities. The following disor ders are included only if they occur in conjunc tion with another diagnosable serious emotional disturbance:

(a) A substance abuse disorder.

(b) A developmental disorder.

(c) "V" codes in the diagnos tic and statistical manual of mental disorders.

(3) "Serious mental illness" means a diagnosable mental, behavioral, or emoti onal disor der affecting an adult that exists or has existed within the past year for a period of time sufficient to meet diagnostic cr iteria spec ified in the most recent diagnostic and statistica I manual of mental dis orders published by the American p sychiatric association and approved by the depar tment and that has resulted in functional impairment that subs tantially int erferes with or limits 1 or more major life acti vities. Serious mental illness includes dementia with delusions, dementia with depressed mood, and dementia with behav ioral distur bance but does not include any other dementia unless the dementia oc curs in conjunc tion with another di agnosable serious mental illness. The following disorders also are included only if they occur in conjunction with another diagnosable serious mental illness:

- (a) A substance abuse disorder.
- (b) A developmental disorder.
- (c) A "V" code in the diagn ostic and statistical manual of mental disorders.

Additionally, w ith res pect to d evelopmental di sabilities, the Mental H ealth C ode provides:

(21) "Developmental disability" means either of the following:

(a) If applied to an individual older than 5 years of age, a severe, chronic condition that meets all of the following requirements:

(i) Is attributable to a mental or physical impairment or a combination of mental and physical impairments.

(ii) Is manifested before the individual is 22 years old.

Docket No. 2012-67097 CMH Decision and Order

(iii) Is likely to continue indefinitely.

(iv) Results in substantial func tional limitations in 3 or more of the following areas of major life activity:

- (A) Self-care.
- (B) Receptive and expressive language.
- (C) Learning.
- (D) Mobility.
- (E) Self-direction.
- (F) Capacity for independent living.
- (G) Economic self-sufficiency.

(v) Reflects the individual's need for a combination and sequence of special, interdisciplinary, or generic care, treatment, or other services t hat are of lifelong or ext ended duration and are individually planned and coordinated.

(b) If applied to a minor from birth to 5 years of age, a substantial developmental delay or a s pecific congenital or acquired c ondition with a high probability of resulting in developmental dis ability as de fined in s ubdivision (a) if services are not provided. [MCL 330.1100a(21).]

In this case, at the time it made the denial, the CMH was limited in the documents it had received. The most relevant r ecords the CMH had came from an evaluation conducted by the Macomb Intermediate School District (MISD). With respect to that evaluation, the Occupational Therapist wrote:

range of motion, and muscle tone was within normal limits. He exh ibits a positiv e Asymmetri cal Tonic Reflex (ATNR) to the right a nd left. His strength and endur ance is decreased. is on restrict ions due to his recent heart surgery and was not placed on hi s stomach. When on his back elevated in a Boppy pillow, was observed to bat at tovs suspended above him. He is not yet batting when on his back on the floor, but with support to his upper arm, he did begin to reach while in supine and supported sitting. He is able to visually track in these positions as well, and will hold and move a rattle plac ed in his palm. The fine motor subtests of the Peabody Developmental Motor Scales were administered and scored at an age equiv alency of 3 months for both his gras ping sk ills and visu al motor integration skills.

mother describes him as a good eater. His weight gain is being monitored closely. He is nursing and taking bottles as well.

does not meet the eligib ility criteria for Specia I Education services at this time. He demonstrated a 20% delay in his motor development . . . [Exhibit 1, page 27.]

The Family Intake Coordinator also found:

was able to hold his head er ect but unsteady. He still demonstrated a head lag. His hands appear to be open or loosely fisted. He is able to retain a rattle activity. He would hold and look at the rattle. was able to bring his free hand to midline. was heard to coo and chuckle. He would vocalize a social response. appeared to attend demonstrat ed the ability to suck on his to voices. hand or thumb. would look at an adult and respond to voices. He would follow a per son with h is eyes. demonstrated a social smile. He expressed discomfort and expressed pleas ure. He sh owed pleasure in social stimulation. He would use comforting when distressed. would scan the environment visually or would turn away.

Using BO T and TA developm ental hearing checklist, it appears is meeting hid de velopmental milestones in hearing ac tivity. Using the In fant Toddler Developmental Assessment and Early On Vision Screening chec klist, it appears t hat is competent in all areas of development at this time ex cluding fine motor skills. Based on the evaluation do ne by Cheryl Cos teiu. Occupational Therapist, does demonstrate 20 percent delay in the area o f fine mo tor skills. It was therefore discussed with that would be eligible for the services of Early On. [Exhibit 1, page 26 (emphasis added).]

Given the results of that ev aluation, Appellant's request must be denied. As noted in the Acces s Screening of Ap pellant's r equest, while Appe Ilant's mother reported developmental delays in fine and gross motor skills, there is not enough inf ormation to justify authorizing services. (Exhibit 1, page 23). A 20% delay in the area of fine motor skills does not on its own qua lify as a mental illness, serious emotional disturbance or developmental disability. Moreover, ther e is no addit ional evidence suggesting that Appellant's condition is a subs tantial developmental delay or a specific congenital or r acquired condition with a high probability of resulting in substantial functional limitation s

Docket No. 2012-67097 CMH Decision and Order

in major life activities if services are not provided. To the extent that new information becomes available, Appellant can always reapply for services.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the CM H properly denied Appellant 's request for supports coordination.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The CMH's decision is AFFIRMED.

Steven J. Kibit Administrative Law Judge for James K. Haveman, Director Michigan Department of Community Health



Date Mailed: 10/25/2012

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.