STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.:

201267013

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2006; 3008 August 29, 2012 Wayne (76)						
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elk	cin							
HEARING DECISION								
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 29, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payment Worker.								
<u>ISSUE</u>								
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Departmen properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:								
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ogram (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?						
FINDINGS OF FACT								
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:								
. Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐FIP ☑FAP ☑MA ☐AMP ☐SDA ☐CDC.								
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).								

3.	Claimant was required to submit requested verification by July 16, 2012.
4.	On July 19, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
5.	On July 19, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On July 23, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ightharpoonup denial. ightharpoonup claim claim is a second of the contraction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193 (USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 (rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS] ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence pency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) be Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
□ ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
[]	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known

as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015. Additionally, Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP and MA benefits, but her cases were closed when she failed to timely respond to a verification of employment requested by the Department. On June 25, 2012, Claimant reapplied for FAP and MA benefits. Two days after she filed her application, Claimant lost her job, and advised the Department of the termination of her employment. The Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist dated July 6, 2012 requesting several documents, including a verification of her end of employment, by July 16, 2012 and approved Claimant's FAP application pending its receipt of the requested verifications. When the Department failed to receive the verifications by July 19, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action denying her MA and FAP application as of August 1, 2012. At the hearing, Claimant testified that she had faxed in the requested documents on However, she did not have any confirmation of her fax and the Department testified that did not receive any fax from Claimant. The Department further testified that, when it received Claimant's request for hearing indicating that a fax was sent, it looked for the fax but did not find it. Claimant also testified that her former employer had told her that it attempted to fax the Verification of Employment (VOE) showing the termination of her employment to the Department but the fax did not go through. Thus, Claimant was aware that the VOE was not received by the Department. The Department testified that no VOE from the employer had been received, either by mail or by fax, concerning Claimant's end of employment, as of the hearing date. Under these facts, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FAP and MA application for failure to provide requested verifications. Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

201267013/ACE

igtie did act properly.	did not act pro	operly.				
Accordingly, the Depareasons stated on the r		is 🖂	AFFIRMED	REVERSED	for	the

Alice C. Elkin
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 5, 2012

Date Mailed: September 5, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/hw

201267013/ACE

