# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-6650

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 21, 2011
County: Wayne County

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 21, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inclu ded Participants on behalf of De partment of Human Services (Department) included Lead Worker ES.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant received FAP benefits in the amount of \$176.00.
- Claimant received unearned income of \$688.00 per month.
- 3. Claimant had housing expenses of \$181.00.

4. On October 12, 2011, Cla imant filed a hearing request, protesting the amount of benefits.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Adjusted gross income in a household of o ne is determined by subtracting the standard amount of \$146.00 (RFT 255) from the countable income. Monthly net income for FAP purposes is then determined by subtracting allowable expenses, such as a shelter deduction, if any. BEM 554.

It is noted that Claimant 's daughter agreed t hat Claimant's inc ome and expense were the same before and after October 1, 2011. Howev er, Department polic y changed effective October 1, 2011, which resulted in a decrease in Claimant's FAP benefit is amount.

effective October 1, 2011, whe ich resulted in a decrease in Claimant's FAP benefit amount.	
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department	
☑ properly c alculated Claimant's FAP benefits ☐ improperly calc ulated Claimant's FAP benefits.	
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did not act properly.	
Accordingly, the Department's FAP c alculation decision is $oxed{oxed}$ AFFIRMED $oxed{oxed}$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/29/11

Date Mailed: <u>11/29/11</u>

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings

consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### SCB/sm

