## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	ITL		МΛ	TI	TER.	$\mathbf{a}$	
117		16 1	VI / 1	\			Г.

	l

Reg. No.: 2010 6638

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.: Hearing Date:

November 23, 2011

County: Macomb County DHS (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 23, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included The Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

## **ISSUE**

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\prod$ deny Claimant's application $\prod$ close Claimant's case $\bowtie$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
	Family Independence Program (FIP)?  Food Assistance Program (FAP)?  Medical Assistance (MA)?  State Disability Assistance (SDA)?  Child Development and Care (CDC)?				
	FINDINGS OF FACT				
	e Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia idence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FIP ☒FAP ☐MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.				
2.	Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).				

3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by 9/7/11.

<ul> <li>4. On 10/1/11, the Department  ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits  for failure to submit verification in a timely manner and due to a change in shelter</li> </ul>
for failure to submit verification in a timely manner and due to a change in shelter standard for heat and utilities which was reduced from \$588 to \$553
<ul> <li>5. On 9/20/11, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ closure of Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>
6. On 9/28/11, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

allowance.

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the Department did not include the Claimant's rent of \$425 per month in the food assistance budget, as it did not receive a shelter verification sent as recently as 9/7/11. The Claimant credibly testified that he requested his landlord fax the shelter verification or lease to the Department. The Department did not receive it. On a prior occasion, the Claimant credibly testified that he personally dropped the verification off in the drop box. This testimony amply demonstrated that the Claimant did not refuse to cooperate and must be allowed to submit the verification once again. The Claimant has difficulty with walking and may require assistance from the Department in completing the shelter verification process by contacting the Claimant's landlord directly in light of the difficulty he has experienced attempting to supply the shelter verification. Under these facts, it is found that the Claimant did not refuse to cooperate. BAM 130.

The change made by the Department in the Claimant's Shelter Utility standard was correct and in accordance with the recent policy change and was made in accordance with Department policy.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department $\square$ properly $\square$ improperly
<ul> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to verify shelter expense.</li> </ul>
□ properly     □ improperly
<ul> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits due to a mass update reducing the utility standard.</li> </ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\boxtimes$ did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED with regard to reducing the Fap benefits as a result of the change in DHS policy regarding the utility standard

 $\boxtimes$  did not act properly and is  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record and as set forth in this decision regarding the verification of rent.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department shall initiate sending the Claimant another shelter verification for his rent.
- 2. The Claimant shall have 10 days to return the verification by the due date.
- The Department shall assist the Claimant in obtaining the shelter verification if requested by the Claimant.
- Upon receipt of the shelter verification in a timely manner, the Department shall recalculate the Claimant's October 2011 FAP benefits to include the verified shelter amount.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>12/1/11</u>

Date Mailed: 12/1/11

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## LMF/hw

