## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:	Dan Na
	Reg. No.:
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne Mo	rris
HEARING DEC	CISION
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and he on behalf of Department of Human Service.	t for a hearing. After due notice, a Participants r husband, Participants
ISSUE	
Due to a failure to comply with the verificat properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ clos benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimon	•
Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐	]FIP ⊠FAP ⊠MA □SDA □CDC.
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a	Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).
3. Claimant was required to submit requested v	erification by
4. On the Department	

<ul> <li>denied Claimant's application</li> <li>closed Claimant's case</li> <li>reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>5. On Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application closure of Claimant's case reduction of Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>	
6. On, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bri Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	idges
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Pe Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 10442 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) proeffective October 1, 1996.	4-193, dence ).3101
∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, a implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400 through Rule 400.3015.	and is ederal dence
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administed MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	CFR).
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assist for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly leas the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	known
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Gr 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Pa	ant of 1996.

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the claimants testified that they received the Verification of Assets form and submitted it to their bank. Mr. Miller further testified that the bank must not have forwarded the completed form to the local office. Through discussions with the claimants, it appears that the claimants have been placed on a Work First (WF) sanction that began in July. This was the reason their FAP benefits stopped, not due to this verification issue. However, the claimants were informed that they could reapply for FAP and that all eligible family members (i.e. all family members not on WF sanction) would be considered for eligibility. Mrs. Miller has had her MA reinstated as she is pregnant. Mr. Miller was informed to reapply for MA to have the department re-evaluate his current eligibility.

riis current eligibility.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Suzanne Morris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed:
Date Mailed:

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SM/jk

CC:

