# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-66246 Issue Nos.: 1000, 3000 Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 30, 2012 County: Wayne (82-19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

### SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 30, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly:	
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li> </ul>	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.

	On August 1, 2012, the Department:
	<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>
	under the following program(s):
2.	On July 5, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	<ul><li>☑ denial</li><li>☑ closure</li><li>☐ reduction.</li></ul>
3.	On July 26, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action regarding FIP and FAP. Claimant also requested a hearing regarding MA but, during the hearing, Claimant stated she no longer required a hearing regarding MA.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges
Relief	Manual (ERM).
∑ Th Respo 42 US Ageno throug	
The Responsive The Regularity The Regularity The Regularity Regularity The Regula	Manual (ERM).  e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal possibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, BC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ph Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's FIP case and restore Claimant's FAP benefits effective August 1, 2012.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

It is noted that the action by the Department to close Claimant's FIP case and decrease Claimant's FAP benefits was due to an alleged failure to cooperate with respect to child support. Claimant raised the issue of possible closure of her case due to nonparticipation in work-related activities, but Claimant did not receive a negative notice with respect to this issue and the Department representative stated that a negative action notice regarding nonparticipation in work-related activities was not issued by the Department as of the date of the hearing. Therefore, the issue of nonparticipation in work-related activities was not addressed at this hearing.

It is also noted that Claimant requested a hearing regarding Medical Assistance (MA), but Claimant stated that she no longer required a hearing regarding MA because she currently was receiving MA benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

### THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Remove the child support sanction from Claimant's FIP and FAP cases, engaging the Office of Child Support, if necessary.
- 2. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FIP and FAP benefits effective August 1, 2012, and ongoing, if Claimant is otherwise eligible.
- 3. Issue FIP and FAP supplements for any missed or increased payments August 1, 2012, and ongoing, in accordance with Department policy.

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Bruke

Date Signed: September 7, 2012

Date Mailed: September 7, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

# SCB/pf

