STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

П	V	т	ш	M	I۸	T	ГΕ	D			•
ш	v		п	IV		\ I		\mathbf{r}	u	_	_



Reg. No.: 201266224 Issue No.: 2000; 3002 Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 23, 2012 County: Wayne (31)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 23, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of , Eligibility Specialist. Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?				
FINDINGS OF FACT					

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant	applied for benefits for:	☑ received benefits for:
	Food A	Independence Program (FIP). ssistance Program (FAP). I Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

201266224/ACE

 On August 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income. 	
 On an unknown date, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction. 	
4. On July 12, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of FA benefits and requesting a hearing also for "Medicaid assistance."	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridg Administrative Manual (BAM), the Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibil Manual (BEM), and the Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	lity
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	is
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Persor Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-1942 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independen Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (AD program effective October 1, 1996.	93, ce R
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Fede Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independen Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and Mich Admin Code, 400.3001 through R 400.3015.	is ral ce
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFF The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	₹).
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistant for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly knowns the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M0 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.	wn

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.
Additionally, <u>FAP Application</u> The Department did not present a FAP budget or a Notice of Case Action showing the figures used by the Department in calculating Claimant's monthly FAP benefits of \$16. Thus, the Department did not satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy in calculating Claimant's monthly benefits.
MA Application Claimant also requested a hearing regarding Medical Assistance (MA). At the hearing, the Department testified that Claimant applied for MA on May 11, 2012 (with retroactive coverage to February 2012), MRT had found that he was not disabled, and the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action on July 12, 2012, denying his MA application. However, the Department had only addressed Claimant's request for a hearing concerning his FAP benefits and did not prepare a hearing summary concerning his request for a hearing on the MRT denial nor confirm that the appropriate documentation had been sent to the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) for review. Because Claimant requested, and is entitled to, a hearing concerning the denial of his May 11, 2012 MA application, Claimant's request for a hearing concerning his MA denial will be scheuled by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS), and Claimant will receive notice of the time and date for this hearing.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above and on the record, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Recalculate Claimant's FAP budget for August 1, 2012, ongoing;
- 2. Issue supplements to Claimant for any FAP benefits Claimant was eligible to receive but did not from August 1, 2012, ongoing; and
- 3. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 29, 2012

Date Mailed: August 29, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

Λ	\sim	⊏.	/h	
◚	U	\square	1	١W

CC:

201266224/ACE

