STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:	Reg. No: 201266069 Issue No: 2026 Case No: 4000000000000000000000000000000000000		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on September 20, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included . Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included			
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program	for: ☑ received benefits for: ☐ Family ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). (FAP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (MA). ☐ Child Development and Care		
<u> </u>	ment denied Claimant's application duced Claimant's benefits due to excess		

3.	On July 6, 2012, the Department sent \square Claimant \square Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the \square denial. \square closure. \square reduction.
4.	On July 20, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case. \boxtimes reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the bility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	ult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Responsibilit 42 USC 601, Agency) adn through Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal y and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 400.3015.
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for disabled as the Famil	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known by Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
and XX of the standard The program and 99. The	Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of e Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 to Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL d 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusistated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge income, the Department ⊠ properly ☐ improperly [☑ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's c. ☑ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.	concludes that, due to excess denied Claimant's application	
DECISION AND ORDE	<u>:R</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above of Law, and for the reasons stated on the reco ☑ did act properly ☐ did not act properly.	-	
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
	<u>/s/</u>	
	Kevin Scully	
	Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director	
	Department of Human Services	
Date Signed: September 21, 2012		

Date Mailed: September 21, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:

• the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

