## STATE OF MICHIGAN

## MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No. 2012-66659

Issue No. 1038

Case No.

Hearing Date:

October 10, 2012

County: Wayne (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Ad ministrative Law Judge upon Claimant's request for a hearing made purs uant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, which govern the administrative hearing and appeal process. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 10, 2012, from Detroit, Mich igan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant, and participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included participants, FIM, and JET Case Worker.

# ISSUE

Whether the Department properly closed Cla imant's case for benefits under the Family Independence Program (FIP) based on Claimant's failure to participate in e mployment-related activities.

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits and was required to participate in employment-related activities.
- On July 10, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of No ncompliance informing Claimant of a failure t o participate in employment-related activities on June 4, 2012 and July 2, 2012. (Exhibit 3)

#### 2012-65993/SCB

3. The	Department ⊠ held a telephone triage on July 11, 2012 and found that Claimant had failed to comply with employment-related activities without good cause ☐ did not hold the triage.
4. Cla	imant $igtimes$ did $igcap$ did not participate in employment-related activities.
5.	On July 11, 2012, the Department s ent Claimant a Notice of Case Action closing Claimant's FIP case, bas ed on a failure to participate in employment-related activities without good cause.
6.	<ul> <li>☑ This was Claimant's ☑ first ☐ second ☐ third sanction for failing to comply with JET obligations.</li> <li>☐ The Department did not sanction Claimant for the noncompliance.</li> </ul>
7.	On July 23, 2012, Claimant request ed a hearing disputing the Department's action.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

In order to increase their employ ability and obtain employment, work eligible individuals (WEI) seeking FIP are required to participate in the JET Program or other employment-related activity unless temporarily defe rred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. BEM 230A; BEM 233A. Failing or refusing to attend or participate in a JET program or other employment service provider without g ood cause constitutes a noncom pliance with employment or self-sufficient related activities. BEM 233A. Good cause is a valid reason for nonc ompliance which is beyond the control of the noncompliant per son. BEM 233A. JET participants will not be terminated from a JET program without the Departm ent first scheduling a triage m eeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A. Good cause must be based

## 2012-65993/SCB

on the best information available at the triage and must be considered even if the client does not attend the triage. BEM 233A. In processing a FIP closure, the Department is required to send the client a Notice of Noncompliance (DHS-2444) which must include the date(s) of the noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, and the penalty duration. BEM 233A.

Follow the procedures outlined below for processing the FIP closure:

- Send a DHS-2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self-Sufficiency-Related Noncompliance, within five business days after learning of the noncompliance. You must include the following information on the DHS-2444:
  - •• The date of the initial noncompliance.
  - •• All the dates, if addressing more than one incident of noncompliance.
  - •• The reason the client was determined to be noncompliant.
  - •• The penalty that will be imposed.
  - •• Schedule a triage to be held within the negative action period.
  - Determine good cause during triage and prior to the negative action effective date. Good cause must be verified and can be based on information already on file with the DHS or the work participation program. Document the good cause determination on the sanction detail screen. BEM

233A p. 8, 9

In the present case, the Depa rtment issued a Notice of Noncomplianc e (Exhibit 3) stating that Claimant refused or failed to participate in empl oyment activities on June 4, 2012 and July 2, 2012.

As to the alleged non-participation of June 4, 2012, Claimant contacted her Department worker as instructed in the Work Participation Program Appointment Notice issued on May 24, 2012 (Exhibit 1) and informed her wo rker that she was unable to attend the June 4, 2012 appoint ment. The worker then issued another appointment for Claimant. Since Claimant complie d with the instructions in the Appointment Notice and the Department worker issued another Appointment Notice, I do not find that Claimant refused or failed to participate in employment activities on June 4, 2012.

As to the alleged non- participation of July 2, 2012, Claimant testified credibly that she again followed the instructions on the Appointment Notice issu ed on June 19, 2012 (Exhibit 2) and attempted to contact her worker prior to July 2, 2012. Claimant was

#### 2012-65993/SCB

unable to reach her worker, and learned after t he appointment of July 2, 2012, that she had been assigned a new worker.

I do not find that Claimant fail ed or refused to comply wi th work-related activities, because in both the alleged non-participation of June 6, 2012 and of July 2, 2012, Claimant followed the instructions in the Work Participation Program Appointment Notice. Claimant was able to reschedul e the June 6, 2012 appointment with the permission of her Department worker, but Claimant was not able to reschedule the July 2, 2012 appointment because she was unable to reach her Department worker, through no fault of her own.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and	Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons
stated on the record, the Administrative Law	Judge concludes that the Department
properly closed Claimant's FIP case.	improperly closed Claimant's FIP case.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Ju	udge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Cor	nclusions
of Law, and for the reason	is stated on the record, finds that the Department	
did act properly.	☑ did not act properly.	

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Remove the sanction from Claimant's case.
- 2. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FIP case, effective the date of closure, on or about August 1, 2012.
- 3. Issue FIP supplements for any missed payments, in accordance with Department policy.

Susan C. Burke
Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 19, 2012 Date Mailed: October 19, 2012 **NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re

consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SCB/ctl

