## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	<b>u</b> II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

IN THE MIXITER OF		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-65854 3015 August 22, 2012 SSPC-East (97-98)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer		
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrati and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on August 22, 2012, fi behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participa Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. rom Detroit, Michi	After due notice, a gan. Participants on
ISSUE		
Due to excess income, did the Department prope ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's be		laimant's application
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fac	•	rial, and substantial
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits for: ☐ re	ceived benefits fo	r:
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

2.	On July 12, 2012, the Department
3.	On July 12, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure. reduction.
4.	On July 20, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
_	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ogulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, in this case, the Department's position is that the net income limit for a family group of one person is \$908. RFT 250 (2011). Because Claimant's net income was more than this amount, the Department denied FAP benefits to him.

However, having reviewed the Reference Table in its entirety after the hearing was concluded, and having considered all of the evidence taken as a whole, it appears that the decision announced on the record at the hearing is incorrect, and the following shall be ordered in its place: it is found and determined that the Department improperly applied the FAP income limit requirements of RFT 250. It is found and determined that the Department should have used the monthly categorical income (200%) limit of \$1,816 as the income limit in this case. The Department erred in using the "Monthly Net Income (100%) Limit" column of RFT 250. It is, therefore, found and determined that Claimant may qualify under the monthly categorical income limit of \$1,816.

Also, in this case, while Claimant's employment verification states he works twenty-eight hours per week, at the hearing Claimant testified he works in the construction industry and does not always work so many hours. No records of other, lesser earnings were produced at the hearing. It is found and determined that should more accurate income information be submitted, the Department shall take it under consideration at the time Claimant submits it.

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\square$ properly $\boxtimes$ improperly
<ul><li>☑ denied Claimant's application</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li><li>☐ closed Claimant's case</li></ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  did act properly  did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record and in this Decision and Order.

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FAP application.
- 2. Initiate procedures to recalculate Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits using the monthly categorical income (200%) limit set forth in RFT 250, "FAP Income Limits."
- 3. Initiate procedures to determine if Claimant is eligible for FAP benefits, and the benefit level to which he is entitled.
- 4. Initiate procedures to provide retroactive and ongoing FAP benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which he is entitled.
- 5. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jan

Date Signed: August 27, 2012

Date Mailed: August 27, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

