STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	1	П	Ц		٨	Λ	١п	ГΕ	R	•		E	•
	ľ			п	_	IIV	117	١		п	•	_	•	

Reg. No.: 2012-65621

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 10, 2012 County: Wayne (82-76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 10, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Clain for:	nant's application 🔲 close Claimant's case
➢ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant 🔀 applied for benefits 🔛 receive	ed benefits for:
	Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).
	Medical Assistance (MA).	Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On July 10, 2012, the Department ☐ closed Claimant's case
3.	On July 10, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On July 23, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ogulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through le 400.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, this matter concerns denial of an application officially filed on March 16, 2012. The period of benefits sought is March16, 2012-September 3, 2012. On or about September 4, 2012, the Department approved FIP benefits for Claimant, and she entered the work participation program.

In this case, the Department produced unsubstantiated, incomplete and conflicting evidence as to the action it took. The Welfare Registration Participant History indicates that Claimant "Entered Activity" on July 9, 2012, and she was terminated July 11, 2012, for the reason that she was "Found ineligible after referral." This document is a computer-generated report without a signature. The Department's next document is "Update/View Case Notes." It states that the Claimant did not appear after June 25, 2012, but does not state the date and time she was required to attend. It is dated July 3, 2012, and no witness testified to the accuracy of the casenote.

It is found and determined that these records are incomplete as they do not identify the date and time of the activity Claimant was required to attend, and they do not document that she failed to attend it. Second, it is found and determined that these documents are unsubstantiated as they are typewritten and unsigned, and no witness testified to their method of preparation or to their accuracy. Third, it is found and determined that the documents are inconsistent with each other, as the Participant History indicates Claimant entered into activity on July 9, 2012, and was terminated on July 11, 2012, while the Case Notes indicate she was referred out of the work participation program on July 3, 2012, six days before the Participant Report verifies her participation.

Based on this evidence of record and all of the testimony and documents presented in this case considered in their entirety, it is found and determined that the Department erred in denying Claimant's March 16, 2012, application and shall be reversed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact a stated on the record, the Administrative La	and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons w Judge concludes that the Department
properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case	
for: 🗌 AMP 🖾 FIP 🗌 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SD	DA CDC.
DECISION	AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge based upor	the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

did not act properly.

did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's March 16, 2012, application.
- 2. Initiate procedures to process Claimant's application and determine what FIP benefits, if any, she is eligible for from March 16, 2012-September 3, 2012.
- 3. Initiate procedures to provide Claimant with retroactive FIP benefits for March 16, 2012-September 3, 2012, at the benefit level to which she is entitled.
- 4. Initiate procedures to delete all FIP sanctions and penalties from Claimant's records as imposed by the Department with regard to this denial.
- 5. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 18, 2012

Date Mailed: October 19, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-65621/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

