# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:
201265512

Issue No.:
2009, 4031

Case No.:
Image: County in the second second

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 16, 2012. Claima nt appeared and provided testimony on her behalf. Participants on behal f of the Dep artment of Human Servic es (Department) included

#### **ISSUE**

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Cla imant's MA-P/SDA application on F ebruary 3, 2012, was denied on May 16, 2012 per BEM 260/261, with a hearing request on May 29, 2012
- 2. Claimant was age 48, with a 12th education.
- 3. Claimant's last employment ended June 2011 due to medical lower back surgery; she is currently working part-time since August 2011 as a parttime cook for a college 15-20 hours a week at **\$100** an hour.
- 4. Claimant alleges disability due to medically diagnos ed disorder s of low back pain and numbress in left leg (DHS Exhibit A, Page 136.

- 5. Medical reports of record state the Claimant on:
  - a. June 20, 2011, is in no acute distress and obvious moderat e discomfort from pain (DHS Exhibit A, Page 97).
  - b. July 11, 2011, has mild face t hypertrophy at L4-L5 and L 5-S1 levels; and that there is no evidence of fracture or liathosis (DHS Exhibit A, Page 91).
  - c. August 16, 2011, has a stable lumbosacral spine (DHS Exhibit A, Page 67).
  - d. August 16, 2011, is dramatically better than she was preoperatively; that she has sust ained relief of her sciatic pain; th at she is walk ing normally, although indicates she has some residual numbness about her right lower leg and foot which is not surprising only a month out of surger y; that her strength is normal; that she is walking about an hour per day and again is dramatically better than she had been (DHS Exhibit A, Page 6).
  - e. October 11, 2011, was seen today in follow-up to her instrumentation September 11, 2011 for s egmental spinal stenosis with incipient pseudo-spondyloli sthesis at L4-L5 and a right paracentral disc herniation; and that there is no reas on that she cannot return to work once she has finished her physical therapy ; and that she will be s een back in the office on a P.R.N. basis; and that she has done so well (DHS Exhibit A, Page 5).
  - f. February 28, 2012, has no motor w eakness but describes numbness whether than diffusely in t he left lower e xtremity; that a review of Claimants MRI scan and det ailed with her reassured that there is no indic ation for further surgery upon her back (DHS Exhibit A, Page 4).
  - g. March 12, 2012, has a stable condition (DHS Exhibit A, Page 47A).
  - h. April 9, 2012, exam inconsiste ncies, meaning exam signs cannot be explained by a spine diseas e; and that she c ontinues to c larify that she has never f elt worse s ubsequent to her sp inal operation July 15, 2011; that her post oper ative imaging c larifies that the operative goal was unaccomplish ed; and that there is no remediable imaging finding in my opinion on that post operative image (DHS Exhibit A, Page 37).
- 6. State Hearing Review Team decision dated August 30, 2012 stat es the Claimant's impairments do not m eet/equal a Soc ial Sec urity listing (Medical Packet, Page 136).

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies ar e found in the Bridg es Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your cl aim further. ...20 CFR 416.912(a).

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).

- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200. 00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, disability is not denied. The evidence of record established the Claimant has not been engaged in substantial gainful activities since June 2011.

At Step 2, disab ility is not den ied. The medical evi dence of record, on date of application, does establish the Claimant's s ignificant physical functional inc apacity to perform basic work activities due to a sev ere mental/physical im pairment for a one (1) continuous duration, as defined below, based on the de minus test.

## Severe/Non-Severe Impairment

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

**Non-severe impairment(s)**. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

**Basic w ork activities.** When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;

- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

#### SEVERE IMPAIRMENT

To qualify for MA-P, claimant must first satisfy both the gainful work and the duration criteria (20 CFR 416.920(a)) before further review under severity criteria. If claimant does not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, an ultima tely favorable dis ability determination cannot result. (20 CFR 416.920(c)).

The burden of proof is on the claimant to establish disability in accordanc e with the 5 step process below. ...20 CFR 416.912(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical s ources about your im pairments are by an M.D. or D.O. or fully li censed psychologist. Medical reports should include assessment of your ability to do work related activities suc h as sitting, standing, moving about, carrying, handling objects, hearing, speaking, and traveling; and in cases of mental impairments, your ability to reason or make occ upational, personal, or so cial adjustments. ...20 CFR 416.913(a)(c)(1) and (2).

Therefore, the Claimant has sustained her burden of proof to establish a severe physical impairment, instead of a non-sever e impairment, for the required duration, and the sequential evaluation is required to continue.

#### 201265512/WAS

Step 3 disability is denied. The medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's impairments meet/equal a Social Security lis ting for the required duration.

At Step 4 disab ility is denied. The medical evidence of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's functi onal physical incapacity, despite her impairments, to perform any of her past work as a restaurant cook.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability As sistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be dis abled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and becaus e the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable t o work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for Stat e Disability Assistanc e benefits either.

Therefore, medical disability has not been establish at Steps 3 and 4 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P/SDA denial is **UPHELD**.

William A Sundquist William A. Sundquist

William A? Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 12, 2013

Date Mailed: February 12, 2013

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

# 201265512/WAS

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### WAS/tb

