## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-65216 3008 August 16, 2012 Wayne (76)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke	•			
HEARING DECIS	ION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for telephone hearing was held on August 16, 2012, frobehalf of Claimant inc luded Claimant. Part icipants Services (Department) included	r a hearing. After om Detroit, Michi	r due notice, a gan.  Participants or		
<u>1330E</u>				
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification properly deny Claimant's application close C benefits for:	·	id the Department  ] reduce Claimant's		
		ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony				
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FI	P ⊠FAP □MA [	□SDA □CDC.		
2. Cla imant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided with a Wa	age Match Client	Notice.		

4. Claimant submitted incomplete verification on July 2, 2012

3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by July 5, 2012.

<ul> <li>5. On August 1, 2012, the Department</li> <li>denied Claimant's application</li> <li>closed Claimant's case</li> <li>reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6. On July 9, 2012, the Department sent notice of the</li> <li>☐ denial of Claimant's application.</li> <li>☐ closure of Claimant's case.</li> <li>☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>On July 13, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the         ☐ denial.        ☐ closure.        ☐ reduction.</li> </ol>
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Persona Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-19342 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.310 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
∑ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc is Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program—which provides financial as sistand for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M € 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 199

otherwise eligible.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligib ility. BAM 105; BAM 130. The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. *Id.* "Tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due ate; see **Timeliness of Verifications** in this item. Use the DHS-3503, Verification Check list (VCL), or for MA redeterminations, the DHS-1175, MA Determination Notice, to request verification." *Id.* 

In the present case, the Department sent a Wage Match Client Notice to Claimant, instructing that Claimant's employer was to complete the attached documentation by July 5, 2012. Claimant completed the documentation, stating that he was unemployed, and submitted the documentation to the Department on July 2, 2012. Instead of contacting Claimant for further explanation, the Department closed Claimant's FAP case. Further, the Department representative at the hearing te stified that if Claimant is not able to obtain information from his form er employer, the Department has the means to contact the former employer. I cannot find that Claimant failed to cooperate, as he did attempt to inform the Department of his status.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Depar $$ tment's decision is $$ $$ AFFIRMED $$ $$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
oxtimes THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FAP case, effective August 1, 2012, if Claimant is

2. Issue FAP supplements, August 1, 2012 and ongoing, for any mi ssed or increased payments, in accordance with Department policy.

Susan C. Burke

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 20, 2012

Date Mailed: August 20, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SGB/cl

