STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-65096 2019, 3000 August 22, 2012 Wayne (82-18)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer			
HEARING DECIS	<u>ION</u>		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request of telephone hearing was held on August 22, 2012, from behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her Authorities. Participants on behalf of the Department included	or a hearing. om Detroit, Michionorized Represen	After due notice, a gan. Participants on tative, her husband,	
ISSUE			
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material face	•	ial, and substantial	
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ red	eived benefits for	r:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	•	sistance (AMP). ssistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	

2.	On August 1, 2012, the Department reduced Claimant's FAP benefits due to excess income and on September 1, 2012, the Department reduced Claimant's MA benefits due to excess income.		
3.	On July 19, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.		
4.	On July 13, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☒ reduction of benefits.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.		
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.		
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.		
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.		
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.		
and 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98		

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hearing, Claimant requested that the FAP issue be dismissed. The Department had no objections. Accordingly, the FAP issue shall be dismissed from this case.

The second issue in this case is the Claimant's MA benefits. Claimant's MA coverage was automatically changed from the Temporary Medical Assistance (TMA) program, which only provides coverage for one year, to the Group 2 Caretaker (G2C) program. The Claimant is eligible for the G2C program by virtue of having minor children in the home. However, the Notice of Case Action issued in this case did not indicate that Claimant was transferred to the G2C program.

Claimant learned of the transfer to the G2C program, including an \$841 monthly Patient Pay Amount (PPA or deductible), from the Department by word of mouth; but, the erroneous Notice of Case Action contained the statement that there were no minor children in the home. Based on this inconsistency, Claimant requested a hearing.

At the hearing, the Department testified that the Notice was issued in error and agreed to prepare a Case Action Notice letter for Claimant containing the correct information for Claimant's records. Claimant was satisfied that the PPA was calculated properly based on accurate income information. Accordingly, it is found and determined that the Department acted properly in this case with regard to Claimant's MA benefits. The Department shall be upheld.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
In addition, at Claimant's request, the issue of FAP benefit reduction shall be dismissed from these proceedings.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. Also, the issue of FAP benefits reduction is hereby DISMISSED at the Claimant's request.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jan

Date Signed: August 27, 2012

Date Mailed: August 27, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

cc: