STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:201264935Issue No:4031Case No:4031Hearing Date:October 16, 2012Genesee County DHS #02

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 16, 2012. Claima nt appeared and provided testimony on her behalf. Participants on behal f of the Dep artment of Human Servic es (Department) included and and additional and additional testimony.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant's SDA application on February 3, 2012 was denied on July 2, 2012 per BEM 261, with a hearing request on July 9, 2012.
- 2. Vocational factors: A ge 34, a GE D, and history of se mi-skilled certified nurses assistant.
- 3. Last employment ended 2009.
- 4. Claimant alleges disability due to medically diagnos ed disorders of a frozen shoulder, diabetes, headaches, carpal tunnel syndrome, lupus, fibromyalgia and rheumatoid arthritis (DHS Exhibit D, Pg. 5).
- 5. Medical reports of record state the Claimant on:
 - a. January 31, 2012: is well-dev eloped, well-nourishe d and in no cardio respiratory distress; that she is alert and oriented to time, place and person; that she ambul ates to the examination r oom

without as sistance; that she is able to sit comfortably on the examination table without difficuent ty or evidence of pain; that neurologically, her higher functions are grossly normal; that her cranial nerves are grossly normal; that her motor examination reviewed normal tone, power and nutrition of the muscles; that sensory examination reveals normal touch, pain, temperature, deep pressure, vibration, tactile vocalizent ation and tactile discrimination; that cerebellar examination is grossly normal (DHS Exhibit B, Pg. 27).

- b. March 29, 2012: stat es the c laimant's general condit ion is that of fatigued; that she has back, neck and joint pain; that her condition is deteriorating (DHS Exhibit B, Pg. 50).
- c. April 19, 2012: st ates the claimant has a GAF score of 35 to 45 (DHS Exhibit D, Pg. 4).
- d. April 24, 2012: we II-developed, well-nourished and in no c ardio respiratory distress; alert and ori ented to t ime, place and perso n; that she ambulates to the examin ation r oom without assistance; she is able to sit comfortably on the examination table without difficulty or evidence of pain; that neurologically, her hig her functions are grossly normal; her cranial nerves are grossly normal; eveals n ormal tone, power and that her motor examination r nutrition of the muscles; that se nsory examination reveals normal touch, pain, temperature, deep pressure, vibration, tactile vocalization and tactile d iscrimination; that cerebellar examination is grossly normal (DHS Exhibit B, Pgs. 30-31).
- 6. State Hearing Review Team decision dated August 30, 2012 stat es the Claimant's impairments do not m eet/equal a Soc ial Sec urity listing (DHS Exhibit D, Pg. 5).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200. 00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Step 1, dis ability is not denied. The ev idence of rec ord established the Claimant has not been engaged in substantial gainful activities since 2009.

Step 2, disability is not denied, except fo continuous duration requirement. The m

r the mental impair ment due to (1) year edical evidence of record, on date of

201264935/WAS

application, does establish the Claimant's s ignificant physical functional inc apacity to perform basic work activities for the requir ed one year continuous duration, as defined below, based on the *de minimus* standard.

Severe/Non-Severe Impairment

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic w ork activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

SEVERE IMPAIRMENT

To qualify for MA-P, claimant must first satisfy both the gainful work and the duration criteria (20 CFR 416.920(a)) before further review under severity criteria. If claimant does not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, an ultima tely favorable dis ability determination cannot result. (20 CFR 416.920(c)).

The burden of proof is on the clai mant to establish dis ability in accordance with the 5 step process below. ...20 CFR 416.912(a).

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical s ources about your im pairments are by an M.D. or D.O. or fully li censed psychologist. Medical reports should include assessment of your ability to do work related activities suc h as sitting, standing, moving about, carrying, handling objects, heari ng, speaking, and traveling; and in cases of mental impairments, your ability to reason or make occ upational, personal, or so cial adjustments. ...20 CFR 416.913(a)(c)(1) and (2).

Claimant testified that she can lift/carry 8 lbs; that she can't mentally work due to shortterm memory, concentration, and sleepless ness or physically due to muscle pain, no grip strength and numbness and back pain brought on by walking.

The medical evidence of record established t he claimant's GAF s core of 35-45 in April, 2012. This is considered a sev ere mental-impairment with occupational functioning. DSM-IV (4th edition-revised). Appropriate abnormal physical findings must be shown to persist on repeated examin ations, despite t herapy for a reasonable presumption to be made that severe impairments will last for a continuous period of 12 months.

Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medic al signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

The reports of record are mostly diagnostic, treatment and progress reports and do not provide medical assessments of claimant's past mental work limitations for the required duration. Said differently, do the claimant's mental/physical in combination impair the Claimant minimally, mildly, moderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above?

Therefore, the claimant has sustained her burden of proof to establish a severe physical impairment, instead of a non severe impairm ent for the required duration and th e sequential evaluations required to continue.

In Step 3, disability is denied. The medical evidence of record for the required duration, does not establish claimant's impairment s meet/equal a Social Security listed impairment.

Step 4, disability is denied. The medical evidence of record on date of application, does not establish the claimant's functional physical incapacity, despite her impairments, to perform any of her past work – such as a s emi-skilled certified nursing assistant for the required one year continuous duration.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more t han 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles lik e docket files, le dgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one whic h involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Therefore, medical disabili ty has not been establis hed at Step 3 and also would not have been established at St eps 4 & 5 by the competent material and s ubstantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, SDA denial is UPHELD.

William A Sundquist

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 8, 2013

Date Mailed: February 8, 2013

<u>NOTICE</u>: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or

201264935/WAS

reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

١	Λ	/	A	S	/i	k
				_	· .	

CC:

