## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF

	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-64892 2001, 3003 September 10, 2012 Wayne (82-76)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leven	ter	
HEARING D	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Adminis and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requ telephone hearing was held on Septemb Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department)	est for a hearing. per 10, 2012, from Claimant <u>. Participa</u>	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan.
ISSU	<u>E</u>	
Did the Department properly  deny Claima for:	ant's application 🛛 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS C	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as materia		rial, and substantial
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive	ed benefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

<ol> <li>On July 3, 2012, the Department         ☐ denied Claimant's application         ☐ due to unknown reasons.</li> <li>Idenied Claimant's case due to unknown reasons.</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>On July 3, 2012, the Department sent</li> <li>☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>On July 10, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the         ☐ denial of the application.</li></ol>					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Person Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-1942 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.310 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) programeffective October 1, 1996.					
The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Ru 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.					
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFF The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC 400.105.					
☑ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .					
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistant for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SE program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.					

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, in this case, Claimant's residence address is a Detroit address. Claimant at some point told his DHS Home Help Provider specialist that he and his brother, the recipient of Claimant's home help care, were "in Mississippi." There is no information in the record to establish that the Department followed up with Claimant as to the discrepancy between the residence address listed with the Department, and the information Claimant himself provided, that he was in another state. Nevertheless, based on that information, on July 3, 2012, the Department terminated Claimant's FAP and AMP benefits.

BAM 130, "Verification and Collateral Contacts," requires the Department to obtain verification when

[i]nformation regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete or contradictory.... Verification is not required when the client is clearly ineligible. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 130 (2012), p. 1.

In this case, it is found and determined that the Department erred because when it received incomplete information regarding an eligibility factor, it failed to obtain verification of that information. The Department received information from Claimant that he was in Mississippi, but the information Claimant gave the Department did not include whether Claimant's presence in Mississippi was temporary or permanent. As Claimant reported his whereabouts to the Department himself, the Department could also have obtained from him the information as to whether he was in Mississippi termporarily or permanently.

It is found and determined that the information upon which the Department acted was incomplete, and further that the information did not make the Claimant "clearly ineligible." Due to the Department's error, Claimant's FAP and AMP benefits should not have been terminated, and they shall be restored.

The Department presented further evidence that on July 13, 2012, after Claimant's benefits were terminated, the Department learned that he worked parttime at in Mississippi. However, as this information was received after the Department terminated Claimant's benefits, it is found and determined that it was not the reason for the Department's decision.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>				
for:				
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   did act properly.   did not act properly.				
Accordingly, the Department's $\boxtimes$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.				
$\boxtimes$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:				
<ol> <li>Reinstate Claimant's FAP and AMP benefits.</li> <li>Initiate procedures to determine the correct FAP benefit levels Claimant is entitled to as of May 1, 2012, and provide retroactive and ongoing FAP benefits to him at the correct benefit levels.</li> <li>Initiate procedures to provide retroactive and ongoing AMP benefits to Claimant.</li> <li>All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.</li> </ol>				
Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services				
Date Signed: September 12, 2012				
Date Mailed: September 12, 2012				
<u>NOTICE</u> : Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filling of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)				

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within

30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

