### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-63892 Issue No.: 1005 Case No.: August 20, 2012 Hearing Date: Wayne (76) County:

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 20, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included cl aimant. Participants on beha If of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

FIM.

# ISSUE

Did the Departm ent properly  $\prod$  deny Claiman t's application  $\bigotimes$  close Claimant's case for:

	imes	
j		

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits received benefits for:

	$\times$
j	
ļ	

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

Medical Assistance (MA).

- On August 1, 2012, the Department
  denied Claimant's application
  due to failure to comply with Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) requirements.
- On June 26, 2012, the Department sent
  □ Claimant
  □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)
  □ denial. □ closure.
- 4. On July 10, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ⊠ closure of the case.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and MC L 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, on June 12, 2012, the department sent the claimant a notice of noncompliance with J ET, with a triage scheduled for June 22, 2012. The claimant attended the triage and testified that she was caring for her mother who needed full-time care. The department asked the claimant to verify her mother's condition and the claimant failed to do so in a timely manner. The department found no good cause for the claimant's failure to comply with JET.

However, the department closed the claimant's FIP on August 1, 2012, and the claimant requested a hearing protesting the closure on July 10, 2012, well before the closure date. The department argued that "Bridges" would not allow the department to change the status of the case. The steps necessary to delete a negative action in "Bridges" are deliniated below.

# DELETING A NEGATIVE ACTION

All Programs

Negative actions must be delet ed from B ridges in some situations.

Hearing Requests

Record the hearing request date and c omplete all required information on the Hearings Restore Benefits screen in Bridges. Then follow Additional Steps to Delete a Negative Action in this section; see BAM 600.

Requirement Met Before Negative Action Effective Date Enter the information the client provided to meet the requirement that caused the negative action, using the appropriate Bridges screens. Then follow Additional Steps to Delete a Negative Action in this section.

Additional Steps to Delete a Negative Action

Take thes e additional steps to delete a negative act ion in Bridges:

Reactivate the program(s) on the Program Request screen in Bridges.

Run eligibility and certify the results.

Bridges will automatically recalc ulate benefits based on the information and dates entered in the system; see

EFFECTIVE DATE O F CHANGE in this item. (BAM 220, p.10).

The department closed the claimant's FIP even though she had requeste d a hearing well before the closure date, this is contrary to department policy.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly denied Claimant's application
 properly closed Claimant's case
 improperly closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly. i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\boxtimes$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate the claimant's FIP retroactively to August 1, 2012, and replac e any lost benefits.

Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 28, 2012

Date Mailed: August 28, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### MJB/cl

