## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:	
	Reg. No.:
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne M	lorris
HEARING DE	ECISION
This matter is before the undersigned Administrant MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on Participants on behalf of Claimant include Department of Human Services (Department	est for a hearing. After due notice, a d Claimant. Participants on behalf of
ISSUE	
Due to excess income, did the Department pro  ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li></ul>
FINDINGS O	F FACT
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: 区	received benefits for:
Family Independence Program (FIP).  Food Assistance Program (FAP).  Medical Assistance (MA)	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).  State Disability Assistance (SDA).

	the Department  denied Claimant's application declaimant's case  reduced Claimant's benefits cess income.	
3. On ⊠ Claim notice of		
4. On ☐ denia	, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the of the application.    ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
	policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the bility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
	ult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i>	
Responsibility 42 USC 601 Agency) adress through Rule	rily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ty and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 et 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tober 1, 1996.	
program] is implemented Regulations	od Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 et 400.3015.	
Security Act The Departm	lical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	
for disabled as the Fami	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known ly Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	
and XX of t	d Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE he Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.	

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Claimant admits that the department is accurately budgeting her employment income/hours. This does make the Claimant excess income for the AMP program.

F3	
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\square$ properly $\square$ improperly	
<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> <li>☒ closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>	
for: 🖂 AMP 🗌 FIP 🗌 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SDA 🔲 CDC.	
DECISION AND ORDER	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did not act properly.	
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.	
Suzanne Morris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services  Date Signed:  Date Mailed:	
Date Mailed.	

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SLM/jk

CC:

