STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-63567 Issue No.: 1022; 3014 Case No.:

Hearing Date: County:

October 24, 2012 Oakland (03)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 24, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included AP Worker.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly include Claimant's guardian in Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) cases?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

Claimant
 ☐ applied for benefits
 ☐ received benefits for:

FAP and MA.

2.	Claimant was the primary caretaker of her grandchild, for whom she received Letters of Guardianship on June 29, 2012
3.	Claimant's grandson was active on another case for MA and FAP on the date of the application.
4.	The Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to Claimant's grandson being active on another case.
5.	On July 6, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
6.	Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Department policy dictates that the Department must:

Re-evaluate primary caretaker status when any of the following occur:

- •A new or revised court order changing custody or visitation is provided.
- •There is a change in the number of days the child sleeps in another caretaker's home and the change is expected to continue, on average, for the next twelve months.
- •A second caretaker disputes the first caretaker's claim that the child(ren) sleeps in their home more than half the

nights in a month, when averaged over the next 12 months.
 A second caretaker applies for assistance for the same child.
BEM 212.
In the present case, the Department failed to follow policy and procedures in processing Claimant's submissions showing that her grandson was in her legal care.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC decision is ☐ AFFIRMED ☒ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 Initiate reinstatement and reprocessing of Claimant's MA and FAP applications, with the effective date of June 29, 2012.
2. Initiate issuance of FAP supplements, in accordance wth Department policy.
Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 25, 2012
Date Mailed: October 26, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/tm

