### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201262754 Issue No.: 2006 Case No.: October 1, 2012 Hearing Date: Oakland (04) County:

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 1, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and , Claimant's mother. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.

### ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly 🖾 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case 🗌 reduce Claimant's benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Program (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant 🛛 applied for 🗌 was receiving: 🗌 FIP 🔤 FAP 🖾 MA 🔤 AMP 🔄 SDA CDC.
- 2. Claimant 🖂 was 🗌 was not provided with a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503).

#### 201262754/ACE

- 3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by June 1, 2012.
- 4. On June 20, 2012, the Department

 $\boxtimes$  denied Claimant's application

closed Claimant's case

reduced Claimant's benefits

for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.

5. On June 20, 2012, the Department sent notice of the

 $\boxtimes$  denial of Claimant's application.

closure of Claimant's case.

reduction of Claimant's benefits.

6. On June 27, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial. Closure. reduction.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known

as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

Additionally, in connection with Claimant's May 11, 2012 MA application, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Checklist and a Medical Determination Verification Checklist (the VCLs) on May 22, 2012, requesting that Claimant submit several forms concerning her alleged disability by June 1, 2012. When it did not receive the forms, the Department sent Claimant a June 20, 2012 Notice of Case Action denying her application.

At the hearing, Claimant testified that she received the VCLs on May 27, 2012 and had not completed and submitted the forms because she believed the due date had already passed. She acknowledged that she had not submitted completed forms to the Department or contacted the Department at any time prior to the hearing, other than to request a hearing. Under these circumstances, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's MA application on the basis that Claimant had failed to provide requested verifications necessary to determine her eligibility under the MA program.

Claimant testified at the hearing that she had brought the completed forms with her to the hearing, and she was advised to reapply for benefits following the hearing.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

closed Claimant's case.

 $\boxtimes$  denied Claimant's application.

reduced Claimant's benefits.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/10/2012

Date Mailed: 10/10/2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/NW
--------

CC:			