STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-62211 6019 February 21, 2013 Oakland (63-02)						
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane								
HEARING DECISION								
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 21, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included								
<u>ISSUE</u>								
Did the Department properly $igtimes$ deny Claimant's application $igsqcup$ close Claimant's case for:								
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? sssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?						
FINDINGS OF FACT								
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:								
 Claimant	d benefits for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).						

2.	On June 21, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to excess income.
3.	On June 21, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On June 27, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 0.105.
□ ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through the 400.3180.
an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

did act properly.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hearing, the Department testified that Claimant's income was \$1,994 per month and the income limit for two children was \$1,847. Policy states that \$1,847 is the limit for the Department to pay 95% for a group of three and \$1,990 for the Department to pay 70% of the CDC costs for the same size group. RFT 270 (June 12, 2012).

The Department's income calculation is based on a fluctuating income.

Use income from the past 60 or 90 days for fluctuating or irregular income, if:

- The past 30 days is not a good indicator of future income, and
- The fluctuations of income during the past 60 or 90 days appear to accurately reflect the income that is expected to be received in the benefit month.

BEM 505 (June 6, 2012), p. 5.

There is no evidence that the Department used "prospective" income to decide whether Claimant was eligible for CDC.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

| properly denied Claimant's application | improperly denied Claimant's application | properly closed Claimant's case | improperly closed Claimant's case

for: | AMP | FIP | FAP | MA | SDA | CDC.

| DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision

did not act properly.

is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

 Initiate a calculation of Claimant's income by prospective income as delineated in BEM 505 for fluctuating or irregular income and supplement for any missed benefits, if appropriate.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 10, 2013

Date Mailed: April 11, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

