## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-62202 2026 October 1, 2012 Oakland (63-03)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 1, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her husband, behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included				
ISSUE				
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☒ red	ceived benefits fo	r:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2.	On March 27, 2012, the Department  denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case  reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.	
3.	On March 27, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. closure. reduction.	
4.	On June 27, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting	
	the $\Box$ denial of the application. $\Box$ closure of the case. $\boxtimes$ reduction of benefits.	
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .	
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.	
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ogulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of	

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

In the instant case, Claimant and her husband requested a hearing to protest the spend-down amount established for Claimant's MA case. Claimant and the Department both agree that Claimant's combined unearned income is \$989 plus Claimant's husband's reported earnings of \$1,030 from self employment. The Department submitted a copy of the budget completed for Claimant's MA benefits. This budget revealed that Claimant, after appropriate deductions, had excess income for MA. The Department opened an MA spend-down case with the deductible amount of \$910.

Claimant's husband testified his self employment income is from real estate sales. The reported income on file was from the previous year's earnings. Claimant's husband admitted he and his wife had not reported any decrease in earnings to the Department. Claimant's husband acknowledged the amounts used by the Department were, in fact, those that had been previously reported.

After reviewing the records, this Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly determined Claimant's spend-down amount.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \( \square \text{ did act properly} \square \text{ did not act properly}.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 10, 2012

Date Mailed: October 10, 2012

<u>NOTICE</u>: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JWO/pf

