# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES



Reg No.: 2012-62144

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 23, 2012

Wayne County DHS (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Thursday, August 23, 2012. The Claimant appeared and testif ied. The Claimant was represented by Participating on behal f of the Department of Hu man Services ("Department") was

### ISSUE

Whether the Department properly terminated the Claimant's cash assistance ("FIP") benefits effective July 1, 2012 based on the failure to comply with the Jobs, Education, and Training ("JET") program?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was a FIP recipient.
- 2. On May 25, 2012, the Department sent a Notice of Non-compliance t o the Claimant, instructing her to attend a June 5, 2012 triage appointment. (Exhibit 2)
- 3. The Claimant did not call/attend the triage.

- 4. The triage was c onducted without the Claimant, result ing in a no good caus e determination. (Exhibit 1, p. 1)
- 5. On June 11, 2012, the Depa rtment sent a Notice of Case to the Claimant informing her that her FIP benefits were scheduled for closure effective July 1, 2012 based on the failure to participat e in employ ment and/or work-related activities. (Exhibit 3, p. 1, 2)
- 6. On June 15, 2012, the Department received a letter from the Claimant, reportedly signed on May 30, 2012, seeki ng to reschedule the "June 5 <sup>th</sup> hearing" b ecause her Authorized Hearing Representative was unable to attend. (Exhibit 4)
- 7. On June 28, 2012, the De partment received the Claim ant's written Request for Hearing. (Exhibit 3, p. 3, 4)

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Reference Tables ("RFT").

∑ The Family Independence Program ("FIP") was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department, formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency, administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children ("ADC") program effective October 1, 1996.
☐ The Food Assistance Program ("FAP"), formerly known as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department, formerly known as the Fami ly Independence Agency, administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, $et seq$ ., and Mi ch Admin Code, Rules 400.3001 through R 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"). The Department of Human Services, form erly known as the Family Independenc e Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program ("AMP") is established by 42 USC 131 5, and is administered by the Department of Human Services pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disability Assistance ("SDA") program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the SDA

program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 through R 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care ("CDC") program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

The Depar tment requires clients to partici pate in employment and s elf-sufficiency-related activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 233A, p. 1 (May 2011). All Work Eligible Individuals ("WEI"), and non- WEIs, are required to work or engage in employment and/or self-suffi ciency-related activities. BEM 233A, p. 1 (May 2011). Failure to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities without good cause is penalized. BEM 233A, p. 1 (May 2011). Penalties in clude a delay in eligibility at applic ation, ineligibility, or case closure for a minimum of 3 months for the first episode of non-compliance, 6 months for the second occurrence, and a lifetime closure for the third episode of non-compliance. BEM 233A, pp. 1, 6 (May 2011). Good cause is a valid reason for non-compliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the non-compliant person. BEM 233A, p. 3 (May 2011).

JET participants will not be te rminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss non-compliance and good cause. BEM 233A. p. 7 (May 2011). Client s can either attend the tr iage or participate in a conference call if physical attendance is no t possible. BEM 233A, p. 7 (May 2011). Clients must comply with triage requirements and provide good cause verification within the negative action period. BEM 233A, p. 8 (May 2011). G ood cause is based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date and must be considered even if the client does not participate. BEM 233A, p. 8, (May 2011). In processing a FIP c losure, the Department is required to send the client a notice of non-compliance, DHS-2444, which must include the date(s) of the non-compliance or the date the client was considered to be non-compliant; the reas on the client wa s determined to be non-compliant; and the penalty duration. BEM 233A, p. 9 (May 2011)

In this case, on May 25, 2012, the Department sent a Notice of Non-complianc e to the Claimant instructing her to appear for a traige appointment on J une 5, 2012 at 10:15 a.m. The Claimant a cknowledged receipt of the Notice and testified that she requested the appointment be rescheduled noting that she had signed the log and had dropped off a written request on May 30 th. During the hearing, the D epartment pulled the sign-in logs for May 30th, May 31st, and June 1, 2012. The Claimant's signature was not there. The letter requesting the rescheduling of the triage (although it was noted as a hearing) was date-stamped June 15, 2012, well after the June 5th triage date.

The Department conducted the triage, absent the Claimant, resulting in a no good cause determination. On June 11, 2012, the D epartment sent a Notice of Case Action informing the Claimant that her FIP benefits would terminate effective July 1, 2012 based on the failure to parti cipate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-relat ed activities. The Claimant testified that on June 15 th, she submitted her Request for Hearing, despite the fact that the triage adjournment request corresponds with this date. Conversely, the Request for Hearing was date-stamped by the Department on June 28, 2012. The Department testified that items place d in the drop box are removed and date-stamped two times a day. Ultimately, under the facts presented, the Department's testimony coincided succinctly with the ev idence presented as opposed to the conflicting testimony of the Claimant. Accordingly, it is found that the Department established by a pr eponderance of evidenc e that it acted in accor Department policy when it terminated the Claimant's FI P benefits and imp osed the 3month sanction based on the Claimant's failu re to comply with the employ ment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record , finds the Department established by a preponderance of evidence that it acted in accordance with Depar tment policy when it terminated the Claimant's FIP benefits and imposed the 3-month sanction based on the failure to comply with the employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's determination is AFFIRMED.
- 2. The 3-month sanction for JET non-compliance is imposed.

Colleen M. Mamuka

Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 29, 2012

Date Mailed: August 29, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CMM/cl

