STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

paperwork by June 30, 2012.

	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date:	2012-62083 2006, 3008 August 9, 2012		
	County:	Wayne (82-19)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chave	ez			
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request the telephone hearing was held on August 9, 2012, from behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included	or a hearing. To Detroit, Michig	After due notice, a		
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
	_	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FIP ☒FAP ☒MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.				

2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification and redetermination

☐ denied Clair ☑ closed Clair	on 12, the Department mant's application. nant's case. himant's benefits.		
☐ denial of Cla ☑ closure of C	on 12, the Department sent in the sent in	notice of the	
denial of cla	on 12, Claimant filed a hearing imant's application. Iaimant's case. Claimant's benefits.	ng request, protesting	ı the
	CONCLUSIO	ONS OF LAW	
	es are found in the Bridges BEM) and the Reference		, ,
Responsibility and 42 USC 601, et se Agency) administe	ependence Program (FIP Work Opportunity Recored. The Department (for rs FIP pursuant to MCL 4 aced the Aid to Depe	nciliation Act of 1996 merly known as the 00.10, <i>et seq</i> ., and 19	, Public Law 104-193, Family Independence 97 AACS R 400.3101-
program] is estal implemented by t Regulations (CFR	sistance Program (FAP) clished by the Food State he federal regulations contained. The Department (for the program of the Mers of the pursuant to Mers.	camp Act of 1977, ontained in Title 7 of merly known as the	as amended, and is the Code of Federal Family Independence
Security Act and is The Department (f	ssistance (MA) program is implemented by Title 42 formerly known as the Fallant to MCL 400.10, et sec	of the Code of Fede mily Independence A	ral Regulations (CFR).
for disabled perso as the Family Ind	ability Assistance (SDA) p ns is established by 2004 ependence Agency) admi d 1998-2000 AACS R 400	PA 344. The Depar inisters the SDA prog	tment (formerly known
	elopment and Care (CDC		

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the evidence shows that Claimant failed to submit proper requested verification and redetermination paperwork. Claimant was sent a redetermination form in May 2012. Claimant, by his testimony, did not move until mid-June 2012. Claimant testified that he did receive the paperwork in question, albeit late. However, Claimant failed to submit any evidence showing when he received the documentation, nor did Claimant submit any evidence that he attempted to contact the Department. If the Department had sent the documentation to Claimant after his move, and the documentation had arrived at the wrong address, the Administrative Law Judge would find a reasonable inference that Claimant had not received the paperwork in question. However, the documentation was sent before the move, and Claimant provided no evidence that he did not receive the documentation.

Therefore, as there is no evidence that Claimant failed to receive the documentation, and as Claimant admits that the documentation was sent, the undersigned holds that Claimant has failed to show that the documentation was not received. As such, the Department was correct when it closed his case.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
"Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge

Date Signed: August 15, 2012

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Mailed: August 15, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/pf

