STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

INI	ти	_ ^ ^	TTE	R OF:
114				K UF



Reg. No.: 2012-61789 Issue Nos.: 2006, 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 8, 2012 County: Wayne (82-15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 8, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

•		_
-		
•	v	_

Did the Department properly 🔲 deny Claim for:	nant's application 🛛 close Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant	applied	for benefits	\times	received	benefits	for:

Family Independence Program (FIP).	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
Food Assistance Program (FAP).	State Disability Assistance (SDA).
Medical Assistance (MA).	☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

 On June 29, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's due to a determination that Claimant failed to submit adec employment on her Semi-Annual Contact Report. 	
 On June 29, 2012, the Department sent ☐ Claimant is Authorized Representative notice of the is denial. 	(AR)
 On June 27, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protes ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. 	sting the
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administration Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (BEM)	` ,.
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependence effective October 1, 1996.	996, Public Law 104-193, the Family Independence d 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101
∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 19 implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et s 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.	77, as amended, and is 7 of the Code of Federal the Family Independence
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of F The Department of Human Services (formerly known as Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 4 400.105.	ederal Regulations (CFR). the Family Independence
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et a	•
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which profor disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Age program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AAC Rule 400.3180.	ne Department of Human ency) administers the SDA

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, at the hearing, Claimant failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish that she provided complete and accurate information with regard to her Semi-Annual Contact Report. Claimant testified that she lost employment at December 6, 2011, but did not apply for Unemployment Insurance because she was not really sure that she lost her job. She then testified that she did apply for Unemployment benefits on December 16, 2011. She failed to report loss of employment to the Department.
She testified that she began a new job on January 16, 2012, but the Semi-Annual Contact Report states she began January 2, 2012. However, she did not report the new job to the Department at the time. The new job required her to work a greater number of hours than the old job, causing her income to increase.
Claimant testified that the verification of employment she submitted from is a printout of an email message, but the document gives no appearance of being an mail printout. Claimant has failed to establish, as a matter of fact in this case, that she cooperated with the Department and is, accordingly, not entitled to the benefits in question.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \(\subseteq \text{did act properly.} \) \(\subseteq \text{did not act properly.} \)

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Jan

Date Signed: August 13, 2012

Date Mailed: August 13, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/pf

cc: