STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201261627 2006 October 1, 2012 Oakland (04)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 1, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Religion (Department) included Re				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐	_	ogram (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐F	IP □FAP ⊠MA	□AMP □SDA		

2. On May 15, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a Healthy Kids Redetermination Notice and application (DHS-0034) for her son.

CDC.

3.	Claimant did not return the completed redetermination to the Department.
4.	On July 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's son's MA case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit a completed redetermination in a timely manner.
5.	On June 18, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. Closure of Claimant's son's MA case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On June 25, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Ad	epartment policies are found in the Department of Human Services Bridges Iministrative Manual (BAM), Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Reference Tables anual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag 40	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 0.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) ogram effective October 1, 1996.
im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 0.3001 through R 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
□ ad	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.

201261627/ACE

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.
Additionally, in this case, the Department sent Claimant a redetermination concerning her son's continued eligibility for MA coverage under the Other Healthy Kids (OHK) program. When it did not receive a completed redetermination, the Department closed Claimant's son's OHK case effective July 1, 2012.
At the hearing, Claimant acknowledged that she received the redetermination form but did not complete it. Under these facts, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's son's MA case for failure to submit the completed redetermination.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly
☐ closed Claimant's son's MA case.☐ denied Claimant's application.☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.} \text{did not act properly.}
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

201261627/ACE

Date Signed: 10/10/2012

Date Mailed: <u>10/10/2012</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/hw