STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P. O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 335-2484; Fax (517) 373-4147

IN THE MATTER OF:

Docket No. 2012-61538 CMH Case No.

Appellant

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge, pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq*. and upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing he ld on Appellant appeared and testified on his own behalf. Appellant's c are provider, also testified on Appellant's behalf. Due Proc ess Hearing C oordinator, represented the Oakland County Community Mental Health Se rvice Program (C MHSP). and from the CMHSP also testified as witnesses.

ISSUE

Did the CMHSP properly de ny Appellant's request for the purchase of a v an on his behalf?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The CMHSP is under c ontract with the Department of Community Health (MDCH) to provide Medicaid covered services to people who reside in the CMHSP service area.
- 2. Appellant is a the comparison of the CMHSP. Appellant's services include 37 hours a week of Community Living Supports (CLS). (Exhibit 1, page 2).
- 3. Appellant is ambulatory, but us es an Amigo Power Wheelchair when he has to travel long distances. (Exhibit 2, page 1).
- 4. Appellant r ecently moved and no w he does not hav e easy acc ess to a vehicle that can trans port his power wheel chair. (Testimony of Appellant; Testimony of Testimony o

- 5. Appellant also selected a care provider without a van and who can only be authorized for mileage. (Exhibit 4, page 5).
- 6. In Appellant requested that the CMHSP purchase a van for him to use to transfer his power wheel chair. (Testi mony of Appellant' Exhibit 2, page 1).
- 7. On the CMHSP sent a notice to Appellant notifying him that his request for purchase of a van on his behalf had been denied. The reason giv en for the denial was that Appellant's request was not for a covered Medicaid service and that transportation services had been authorized. (Exhibit 5, page 1).
- 8. On **Constant of** the Michigan Ad ministrative Hear ing System (MAHS) received a Request for Hearing regarding the denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medic al Ass istance Program is establis hed purs uant to Tit le XIX of t he Soc ial Security Act and is im plemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with stat e statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act, enacted in 1965, authorizes Federal grants to St ates for medical assist ance to low-income persons who are age 65 or over, blind, disabled, or members of families with dependent children or qualified pregnant women or ch ildren. T he program is jointly financed by the Feder al and State governments and administered by States. Within broad Federal rules, each State decides eligible groups, types and range of services, payment levels for services, and administrative and operating procedures . Payments for services are made directly by the State to the individuals or entities that furnish the services. [42 CFR 430.0.]

The State plan is a comp rehensive written statement submitted by the agency describing the nature and scope of its Medicaid program and giving assurance that it will be administered in conformity with the specific requirements of title XIX, the regulations in this Chapter IV, and other applicable official issuances of the Department. The State plan contains all information necessary for CMS to determine whether the plan can be approved to serve as a basis for F ederal financial participation (FFP) in the State

Docket No. 2012-61538 CMH Decision and Order

program. [42 CFR 430.10.]

Section 1915(b) of the Social Security Act provides:

The Secret ary, to the extent he finds it to be cost-effective and efficient and not inconsistent with the purposes of this subchapter, may waive such requirements of section 1396a of this title (other than subsec tion(s) of thi s section) (other than sections 1396a(a)(15), 1396a(bb), and 1396a(a)(10)(A) of this title insofar as it r equires provision of the care and services described in section 1 396d(a)(2)(C) of this title) as may be necessary for a State...

The State of Michiga n has opted to simultaneously utilize the authorities of the 1915(b) and 1915(c) programs to provid e a continuum of services to disabled and/or elderly populations. Under approval from the Cent ers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) the Department of Community Heal th (MDCH) operates a section 1915(b) and 1915(c) Medicaid Managed Spec ialty Services and Support program waiv er. CMH contracts with the Michigan Department of Community Health to provide services under the waiver pursuant to its contract obligations with the Department.

Medicaid beneficiaries are ent itled to medic ally necess ary Medicaid covered services for which they are eligible. Services must be provid ed in t he appropriate amount, scope, and duration to reasonably achieve the purpose of the covered service. See 42 CFR 440.230. Specifically, the CMHSP must also follow the Department's Medica id Provider Manual (MPM) when approving mental healt h services to an applicant, and apply the medical necessity criteria found within that manual.

With respect to covered Medicaid services and transportation, the MPM states:

3.26 TRANSPORTATION

PIHPs are responsible for transportation to and from the beneficiary's place of residence when provided so a beneficiary may participate in a state plan, HSW or additional/B3 service at an approved day program site or in a clubhouse psychosocial rehabilitation program. MH Ps are responsible for assuring their enrollees' transportation to the primary health care services provided by the MHPs, and to (nonmental health) specialists and out- of-state medical providers. The DHS is r esponsible for assuring pointments for Medicai transportation to medical ap d beneficiaries not enrolled in MHPs; and to dental, subs tance abuse, and mental health s ervices (exc ept those noted above and in the HSW program described in the Habilitation/Supports Waiver for Persons with

Docket No. 2012-61538 CMH Decision and Order

Developmental Disabilities Section of this chapter) for all Medicaid beneficiaries. (Refer to the local DHS or MHP for additional information, and to the Ambulance Chapter of this manual for information on medical emergency transportation.)

PIHP's payment for transportation should be authorized only after it is determined that it is not otherwise available (e.g., DHS, MHP, volunteer, family member), and for the leas t expensive available means su itable to the beneficiary's need. [MPM, Mental Health/Substance Abuse Chapter, April 1, 2012 version, page 22.]

Here, the CMHSP authorized a transportation stipend to meet Appellant's needs in that area. Respondent's r epresentative also testif ied that such milea ge is the most cost-effective method for transportation involv ing a single person. Additionally, as noted by Respondent's representative, the purchase of a van is not a Medicaid covered service.

Appellant bears the bur den of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the CMHSP erred in denying his request that it purchase a van for him. Here, Appellant did not meet that burden. Appella nt testified regarding the di fficulties in using his CLS hours and in transporting his power wheelchair since his move. However, he cannot point to any policy identifying the purchase of a van for him as a Medicaid covered service. He a lso fa ils to s how that th e purchase of a van is the least expensive available means suitable to his medical needs. Accordingly, his request was properly denied.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the CMHSP properly denied Appellant's request for purchase of a van on his behalf.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The CMHSP's decision is AFFIRMED.

own Kibit

Steven J. Kibit Administrative Law Judge for James K. Haveman, Director Michigan Department of Community Health



Date Mailed: 10/11/2012

*** NOTICE ***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.