## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEI ARTIMENT OF TION	MAIN OLIVIOLO	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-61284 2011 September 13, 2012 Wayne (82-35)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Ch	avez	
HEARING DEC	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on September Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Fig. 1.	st for a hearing. r 13, 2012, from	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan.
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant for:	's application ☐ cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	<u>FACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received	benefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

2.	On November 10, 2011, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to not meeting disability standards in the requested retroactive months.
3.	On February 14, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On March 27, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through the 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		
Claimant requested a hearing based on the denial of Medicaid for the month of March 2011. The evidence in the file shows that Claimant filed an application for Medicaid and a retroactive Medicaid application on April 27, 2011. While Medicaid based or disability for the month of June 2011 was eventually processed and approved, eligibility for the months of April and March was denied; it appears that eligibility for May was never processed.		
According to the notice of case action in the case, eligibility for March and April 2011 was denied because Claimant did not meet disability requirements for that month however, there does not appear to be any evidence that the medical case file was sent to the Medical Review Team (MRT) and that the disability decision was issued by them By all appearances, the Department made a disability determination without the input of MRT.		
This is error. BAM 815 (2012) specifically requires that MRT be the entity to make disability determinations. The Department cannot deny an application for disability based Medicaid, as was filed here, for failure to meet disability requirements, without sending the file to MRT.		
As there is no evidence that the file was ever sent to MRT, nor is there evidence that the month of May 2011 was ever processed or considered for eligibility, the Department was incorrect to deny eligibility for the months of March through May 2011 and must reprocess those months and submit the file to MRT as necessary.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decisior is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER.

1. Initiate reprocessing of Claimant's April 27, 2011, MA application and reconsider Claimant's eligibility for the months of March, April, and May 2011; if there is a disability determination to be made, the file must be submitted to the Medical Review Team for a determination.

Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 21, 2012

Date Mailed: September 21, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 2012-61284/RJC

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## RJC/pf

