

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2012-60135  
Issue No.: 2009  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: September 10, 2012  
Oakland County DHS (02)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris**

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Monday September 10, 2012. The Claimant appeared and testified. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], appeared as the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR). [REDACTED] appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Services ("Department").

During the hearing, the Claimant presented a fully favorable disability determination from the Social Security Administration ("SSA") showing a disability onset date of April 1, 2012.

**ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") and State Disability Assistance ("SDA") benefit programs?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant submitted an application on March 16, 2012 for public assistance, seeking MA-P and retro MA -P retro to January 2012.

2012-60135/LMF

2. On April 9, 2012, the Medical Review Team (“MRT”) found the Claimant not disabled.
3. The Department notified the Claimant of the MRT determination on April 20, 2012.
4. The Department received the Claimant’s timely written request for hearing.
5. On July 30, 2012, the State Hearing Review Team (“SHRT”) found the Claimant not disabled.
6. Subsequently, the SSA found the Claimant disabled with a disability onset date of April 1, 2012.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (“BAM”), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (“BEM”), and the Bridges Reference Tables (“RFT”).

A previously denied MA application is treated as a pending application when MRT determined the Claimant was not disabled and subsequently, the SSA determines that the Claimant is entitled to SSI based on his/her disability/blindness for some, or all, of the time covered by the denied MA application. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 260 2011. All eligibility factors must be met for each month MA is authorized. BEM 260

In this case, the SSA approved the Claimant for social security benefits with the disability onset date of April 1, 2012. Based on the favorable SSA determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability pursuant to BEM 260.


In this case, the Claimant is found disabled for purposes of the MA-P program.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law finds that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled for purposes of the MA-P benefit program.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

1. The Department's determination that the Claimant is not disabled is REVERSED.
2. The Department shall initiate processing of (if not previously done so) the March 16, 2012 application, to include all applicable retroactive months, to determine if all other non-medical criteria are met and inform the Claimant of the determination in accordance with Department policy.



**Lynn M. Ferris**  
Administrative Law Judge  
For Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: September 17, 2012

Date Mailed: September 17, 2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2012-60135/LMF

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

cc:

