STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	П	П			٨	A I	۷.	г	ΓΕ	Р)			•
	IV			п	_	- 11	117	•					_	•	_

Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-60859 6015 February 14, 2013 Oakland (04)						
nane							
HEARING DECISION							
This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim — ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 14, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inclu ded the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included							
<u>ISSUE</u>							
Did the Departm ent properly $igtimes$ deny Claiman t's application $igsqcup$ close Claimant's case for:							
State Disability As	,						
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							
nefits for:							
Adult Medical As State Disability A Child Developme	. ,						
	Case No.: Hearing Date: County: nane SION Ve Law Judge purer a hearing. After, from Detroit, Midicipants on behalf application clo Adult Medical Ass State Disability As Child Development ACT ompetent, materials: enefits for: Adult Medical As State Disability As State Disability As						

2.	On May 6, 2012, the Department					
3.	On June 18, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.					
4.	On June 22, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.					
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.					
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.						
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.						
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .					
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.						

Additionally, the Claimant test ified that the proposed provi der is her mother and the grandmother of the children in need of child care. The Department denied the Claimant's CDC application because her mother appreared on the Central Registry.

INDIVIDUALS WHO MAY NO T RECEIVE PAYMENT FO R CARE

Clients are not eligible for CDC services for care provided by any of the following persons:

- A member of the CDC program group.
- The applicant/client.
- The applicant/client's spouse who lives in the home.
- The parent of the child(ren) or a legal guardian who is not a member of the CDC program group.
- A home help provider who is also prov iding adult home help at the same time as child care is being provided.
- Individuals on central registry determined to be responsible for the neglect or abuse of a child(ren) or convicted of a crime listed in the cr ime codes exhibit....(BEM 704, p. 2; April 1, 2012).

Although the Claimant testified that her mother had been to Lansing to clear this matter up, the Claimant provided no documentation to refute the Department's position.

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Ju	•					
	improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case					
for:						
DECISION AND ORDER						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did not act properly.						

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \boxtimes CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 22, 2013

Date Mailed: April 22, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the Claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

cc: