STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-60633 4006 September 24, 2012 Wayne (82-31)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan \	W. Owens	
HEARING D	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Adminis and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requitelephone hearing was held on September Participants on behalf of Claimant included C Participants on behalf of the Department of	est for a hearing. per 24, 2012, from laimant and his cous	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan. in,
<u>ISSU</u>	<u>E</u>	
Did the Department properly $igtimes$ deny Claima for:	nt's application 🗌 c	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical As ☐ State Disability A ☐ Child Developme	
FINDINGS C	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as materia		rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits □ receive	ed benefits for:	
Family Independence Program (FIP).Food Assistance Program (FAP).Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

2.	On April 6, 2012, the Department ightharpoonup denied Claimant's application ightharpoonup due to failure to return verifications.
3.	On April 6, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On June 20, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through ile 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		
On June 15, 2011, Claimant applied for SDA benefits. The Department sent a verification checklist to Claimant on February 24, 2012. Claimant was given until March 5, 2012, to provide the requested verifications. Claimant failed to respond or return the requested verifications. Claimant admitted he likely received the documents. However both Claimant and his witness indicated that Claimant was unable to read. Claimant completed the original application with the help of his witness. Claimant made not mention of an issue with reading at the time of application. The Department was unaware of any barriers such as the inability to read. Claimant and his witness acknowledge the Department had no way of knowing of this limitation.		
The Department properly issued a request for verification. The Department appears to have had no reason to suspect that Claimant was unable to read. Claimant completed and signed his own application. The Department is required to verify the items requested on the verification checklist sent to Claimant. Claimant has the responsibility to provide these verifications. The Department properly mailed, provided appropriate time to return and properly denied the application upon Claimant's failure to return requested items.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \boxtimes SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

/ Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 8, 2012

Date Mailed: October 8, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

cc: