

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 201260521
Issue No: 1038
Case No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: August 1, 2012
Calhoun County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge by authority of MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37. Claimant's request for a hearing was received on May 21, 2012. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 1, 2012.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Services (Department) properly sanctioned the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case for noncompliance with the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant was an ongoing Family Independence Program (FIP) recipient until July 1, 2012.
2. The Department referred the Claimant to the Jobs, Education, and Training (JET) program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits.
3. The Department deferred the Claimant from participation in the JET program for 90 days.
4. On May 9, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a Medical Needs – JET (DHS-54E), to determine whether her deferral from the JET program would be extended.
5. On May 9, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a Work Participation Program Appointment Notice (DHS-4785) and scheduled her to begin participation in the JET program on May 21, 2012.

6. The Claimant was noncompliant with the JET program on May 31, 2012, when she failed to participate in JET program programming.
7. The Department conducted a triage meeting on June 13, 2012.
8. On June 14, 2012, the Department notified the Claimant that it would sanction her FIP benefits as of July 1, 2012.
9. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on June 21, 2012, protesting the sanctioning of her FIP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Department policy states that clients must be made aware that public assistance is limited to 48 months to meet their family's needs and that they must take personal responsibility to achieve self-sufficiency. This message, along with information on ways to achieve independence, direct support services, non-compliance penalties, and good cause reasons, is initially shared by DHS when the client applies for cash assistance. Jobs, Education and Training (JET) program requirements, education and training opportunities, and assessments will be covered by the JET case manager when a mandatory JET participant is referred at application. BEM 229.

Federal and State laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP and RAP group to participate in the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment-related activities unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities to increase their employability and obtain stable employment. JET is a program administered by the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth (DLEG) through the Michigan Works Agencies (MWAs). The JET program serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. A WEI who refuses, without good cause, to participate in assigned employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities is subject to penalties. BEM 230A.

Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Failing or refusing to:
- - Appear and participate with the Jobs, Education and Training (JET) Program or other employment service provider.
 - Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the FSSP process.
 - Develop a Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) or a Personal Responsibility Plan and Family Contract (PRPFC).
 - Comply with activities assigned to on the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP).
 - Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
 - Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
 - Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.
 - Accept a job referral.
 - Complete a job application.
 - Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity. BEM 233A.

The Department is required to send a DHS-2444, Notice of Employment and/or Self-Sufficiency Related Noncompliance within three days after learning of the noncompliance which must include the date of noncompliance, the reason the client was determined to be noncompliant, the penalty that will be imposed and the triage date within the negative action period. BEM 233A.

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented for member adds and recipients. If it is determined at triage that the client has good cause, and good cause issues have been resolved, the client should be sent back to JET. BEM 233A.

Good cause should be determined based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or MWA. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or identified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. BEM 233A.

The penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP closure. Effective April 1, 2007, the following minimum penalties apply:

- For the first occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar months unless the client is excused from the noncompliance as noted in "First Case Noncompliance Without Loss of Benefits" below.
- For the second occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 3 calendar months.
- For the third and subsequent occurrence on the FIP case, close the FIP for not less than 12 calendar months.
- The penalty counter also begins April 1, 2007 regardless of the previous number of noncompliance penalties. BEM, Item 233A.

Noncompliance, without good cause, with employment requirements for FIP/RAP(SEE BEM 233A) may affect FAP if both programs were active on the date of the FIP noncompliance. BEM 233b. The FAP group member should be disqualified for noncompliance when all the following exist:

- The client was active both FIP and FAP on the date of the FIP noncompliance, and

- The client did not comply with FIP/RAP employment requirements, and
- The client is subject to a penalty on the FIP/RAP program, and
- The client is not deferred from FAP work requirements, and
- The client did not have good cause for the noncompliance. BEM 233B.

The Department should budget the Last FIP grant amount on the FAP budget for the number of months that corresponds with the FIP penalty (either three months for the first two noncompliances or 12 months for the third and subsequent noncompliances) after the FIP case closes for employment and/or self sufficiency-related noncompliance. The Last FIP grant amount is the grant amount the client received immediately before the FIP case closed.

The Claimant was an ongoing Family Independence Program (FIP) recipient until July 1, 2012, and the Department had referred her to the JET program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. The Department deferred the Claimant from participation in the JET program for 90 days. On May 9, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a Medical Needs – Jet (DHS-54E), to determine whether her deferral from the JET program would be extended. The Claimant did not return the medical needs form.

On May 9, the Department scheduled to Claimant to begin participation in the JET program on May 21, 2012. The Claimant was noncompliant with the JET program when she failed to participate in JET programming. The Department conducted a triage meeting on June 13, 2012, where the Claimant was given the opportunity to establish good cause for noncompliance with the JET program. The Claimant did not attend the triage meeting, and the Department did not find good cause. On June 14, 2012, the Department notified the Claimant that it would sanction her FIP benefits as of July 1, 2012.

The Claimant did not dispute that she did not participate in the JET program on or after May 21, 2012. The Claimant testified that she did report to the JET program location, but was turned away from the JET program because she was not on the list of JET program participants.

A claim of good cause must be verified and documented. BEM 233A. In this case, the Claimant did not attend the triage meeting, and the Department considered whether she had good cause without her input. Good cause must be considered even if the client does not attend the triage meeting, with particular attention to possible disabilities (including disabilities that have not been diagnosed or identified by the client) and unmet needs for accommodation. BEM 233A.

The Department presented evidence showing that the Claimant did not attend her JET appointment on May 21, 2012. This is not in dispute and the Claimant testified that she did not participate in the JET program on May 21, 2012.

The only witness with personal knowledge of the events of May 21, 2012, to testify during the hearing was the Claimant. The Claimant testified that she showed up at her JET appointment on May 21, 2012, but was turned away from the program. The Claimant testified that the workers at the JET program were not aware that her deferral was ending and that she had been referred to the JET program.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant had good cause for her failure to attend the JET program on May 21, 2012, because it was beyond her control whether she was allowed to participate in the program. The Claimant presented evidence that she was prevented from attending her JET appointment on May 21, 2012, which was not rebutted by any Department evidence or witnesses.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Claimant had good cause for her noncompliance with the JET program.

Accordingly, the Department's Family Independence Program (FIP) sanction is REVERSED. It is further ORDERED that the Department shall:

1. Delete the sanction from the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) benefit case.
2. Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for Family Independence Program (FIP) as of July 1, 2012.
3. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
4. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.

/s/
Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 13, 2012

Date Mailed: August 13, 2012

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

KS/tb

cc:

